

EXHIBIT A

In The Matter Of:
AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.

GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

DALCO Reporting, Inc.
170 Hamilton Avenue, Suite 303
White Plains, NY 10601
(914) 684-9009
dalcoreporting.com



Original File GENTILE, GUY (2_24_2020).txt
Min-U-Script® with Word Index

**AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.**

GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

	Page 1	Page 3
1	2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 3 ----- -x 4 AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION, Plaintiff, 5 -against- Case No. 6 GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD., Defendants. 7 RELATED TO: 8 ----- -x 9 NEW CONCEPT ENERGY, INC., Plaintiff, 10 -against- Case No. 11 GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD., Defendants. 12 February 24, 2020 9:00 a.m. 13 14 15 16 DEPOSITION of GUY GENTILE, a Defendant herein, taken 17 pursuant to Court Order, and held at the offices of 18 DALCO Reporting, Inc., 280 Madison Avenue, New York, 19 New York, before Debra A. Levinson, CSR-RMR-CRR, a 20 Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New 21 York. 22 23 24	1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between 2 the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that 3 filing and sealing be and the same are hereby waived. 4 5 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all 6 objections, except as to the form of the question, 7 shall be reserved to the time of the trial. 8 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the 9 within deposition may be signed and sworn to before any 10 officer authorized to administer an oath, with the same 11 force and effect as if signed and sworn to before the 12 Court. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
1	2 APP E A R A N C E S : 3 4 FOR PLAINTIFFS: 5 MIRIAM TAUBER LAW, PLLC 885 Park Avenue, Suite 2A New York, New York 10075 BY: MIRIAM TAUBER, ESQ. 6 LAW OFFICE OF DAVID LOPEZ 171 Edge of Woods Road P.O. Box 323 South Hampton, New York 11968 BY: DAVID LOPEZ, ESQ. 9 10 FOR DEFENDANTS: 11 FORD O'BRIEN LLP 575 Fifth Avenue, 17th Floor New York, New York 10017 BY: ADAM C. FORD, ESQ. DANIELLE M. MC LAUGHLIN, ESQ. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 2
1	2 GUY GENTILE, 3 having been first duly sworn by the Notary Public 4 (Debra A. Levinson), and stating his address as San 5 Juan, Bahamas, was examined and testified as follows: 6 7 EXAMINATION 8 BY MS. TAUBER: 9 Q. Good morning, Mr. Gentile. My name is Miriam 10 Tauber. I'm the counsel for the plaintiffs in this 11 case. Thank you for coming today. I guess, start with 12 just basic confirmation. You said you're a resident of 13 Puerto Rico? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. Could we have a full address, for the record? 16 A. I'm not going to disclose my full address. 17 It's San Juan, Puerto Rico. 18 Q. Okay. Are you a U.S. citizen? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Okay. Are you a citizen of any other countries? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. What countries are those? 23 A. Italy and Jamaica. 24 Q. Okay. Do you have an Italian passport?	Page 4

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 5	Page 7
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Do you have a Jamaican passport?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Do you have any other residences in the</p> <p>5 United States, besides the San Juan, Puerto Rico</p> <p>6 location?</p> <p>7 A. That's my legal residence. It's just San</p> <p>8 Juan, Puerto Rico.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. So do you have other residence in</p> <p>10 other states?</p> <p>11 A. I own other properties but not in -- not in</p> <p>12 the US.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. So that's your only current residence,</p> <p>14 Puerto Rico?</p> <p>15 A. That's where I live. I'm there most of the</p> <p>16 time.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. Where else do you live when you're not</p> <p>18 living there?</p> <p>19 A. Well, right now, it's just -- right now, I</p> <p>20 just live in Puerto Rico. Prior to that, I lived in the</p> <p>21 Bahamas for a number of years.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay.</p> <p>23 A. And prior to that, I lived in New York.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay.</p>	<p>1 Q. Okay. So -- but when it was in business,</p> <p>2 it's the same entity as MintBroker?</p> <p>3 A. It's not an entity. I said that already.</p> <p>4 Q. Was it ever an entity?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. What other names did it go by?</p> <p>7 A. Which; did what go by?</p> <p>8 Q. Either. This -- is this the same business</p> <p>9 MintBroker and Sure -- I guess I'm trying to figure out</p> <p>10 what is meant by I think you said that they're the same</p> <p>11 thing?</p> <p>12 MR. FORD: I'm not certain what you're</p> <p>13 referring to.</p> <p>14 Q. So I think last time you were in court, you</p> <p>15 had said MintBroker and SureTrader are the same thing;</p> <p>16 that's not correct?</p> <p>17 MR. FORD: Can I just -- I'm happy to help.</p> <p>18 I want to be helpful but I think it's a little --</p> <p>19 maybe if you just asked Mr. Gentile the question</p> <p>20 and he'll answer it.</p> <p>21 Q. So are they the -- in your opinion, are they</p> <p>22 the same thing, MintBroker and SureTrader, do you use</p> <p>23 those names interchangeably to refer to the same</p> <p>24 business?</p>
Page 6	Page 8
<p>1 A. And also in Miami, for a brief period, but</p> <p>2 right now, my only full-time residency is San Juan,</p> <p>3 Puerto Rico.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. So I wanted to go through some</p> <p>5 entities that we know, well, your -- I think your</p> <p>6 attorney said in court that MintBroker which is the</p> <p>7 defendant in this case is the same thing as SureTrader;</p> <p>8 is that correct?</p> <p>9 A. SureTrader is not a legal entity.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. So what is the relationship between --</p> <p>11 is SureTrader just the name, the DBA name of MintBroker?</p> <p>12 A. Just a website.</p> <p>13 Q. Just a website. Okay. So can I give you a</p> <p>14 -- can I go through a list of other names that I've</p> <p>15 started to come across and you tell me if they're also</p> <p>16 the same company, if they're related companies, just</p> <p>17 because of the confusion of SureTrader and MintBroker?</p> <p>18 MR. FORD: Yes. Just also the same company</p> <p>19 as what.</p> <p>20 Q. As SureTrader, slash, MintBroker, is that --</p> <p>21 those are the same thing, right, like SureTrader?</p> <p>22 You're saying it's a website but that refers to</p> <p>23 MintBroker, is that what you meant?</p> <p>24 A. It used to; it's no longer in business.</p>	<p>1 A. Which business?</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. So MintBroker is currently in</p> <p>3 existence; right?</p> <p>4 A. MintBroker what though? MintBroker --</p> <p>5 Q. International LTD, the defendant in this</p> <p>6 case?</p> <p>7 A. Okay.</p> <p>8 Q. Is that the same?</p> <p>9 A. In which jurisdiction?</p> <p>10 Q. What do you mean which jurisdiction? The</p> <p>11 defendant in this case, MintBroker International LTD, is</p> <p>12 I think a Bahamas entity?</p> <p>13 A. Okay. But you've named this case --</p> <p>14 defendants in UK, you've named defendants in Bahamas. I</p> <p>15 don't know which one you're talking about.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. So the -- let me show you the caption</p> <p>17 of the case here, and you can see what I'm talking</p> <p>18 about.</p> <p>19 So here is a document from this case. I</p> <p>20 think you've seen this. It's your responses. Your</p> <p>21 attorney may have helped prepare these. There is a</p> <p>22 caption on there. You see the defendant is MintBroker</p> <p>23 International LTD?</p> <p>24 A. I remember in the first complaint that you</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 9	Page 11
<p>1 guys wrote; you -- you mentioned MintBroker 2 International UK. So I don't know if this is for UK or 3 for Bahamas. Which one is it for?</p> <p>4 Q. I don't recall that we had UK -- 5 MR. LOPEZ: Bahamas. 6 Q. -- in the caption? But -- 7 MR. FORD: I'll try and speed this along. I 8 think as of now -- 9 MS. TAUBER: Yeah. 10 Q. -- you guys correct me, the complaint, 11 whatever might have been because I think there may have 12 been some confusion earlier, but as of right now, this 13 complaint, this case is Avalon Holdings against Guy 14 Gentile and You and MintBroker International LTD, that 15 is the -- that is a Bahamian corporation; is that 16 correct? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Okay. And so the business that's known as 19 SureTrader -- they're the website SureTrader, is that 20 the business that MintBroker operated or operates? 21 A. SureTrader was owned -- the website was owned 22 by MintBroker International for that time that that was 23 in business. 24 Q. That which was in business; that SureTrader</p>	<p>1 A. Okay. 2 Q. Okay. So the first one is DAS Trader, is 3 that an entity that is affiliated with you? 4 A. I don't own that business. 5 Q. Okay. Is that an affiliate of any business 6 that you do own? 7 A. No. I don't own that business directly or 8 indirectly. 9 Q. Okay. Does your ex-wife, I think, own that 10 business, Karen Gentile? 11 A. I mean, I don't know if she owns it. I don't 12 keep track of what she owns. 13 Q. Okay. Did she own it at one time? 14 A. I believe so but that's a belief. I'm not 15 certain if she owned it or if another company she had 16 owned it or if a trust owned it. 17 Q. Okay. 18 A. Or relatives owned it. 19 Q. Directly or indirectly somehow. 20 Did you ever own it with her? 21 A. That entity specifically that she has now, 22 no. 23 Q. The business of DAS Trader. I don't know 24 if --</p>
Page 10	Page 12
<p>1 was in business or -- 2 A. SureTrader is not a business. It was a 3 website like I said, MintBroker International was the 4 business. 5 Q. Okay. So how is the website related to the 6 business? 7 A. It offered products and services that that 8 business offered. 9 Q. What services are those? 10 A. Online trading services. 11 Q. So meaning what; what is an example of a 12 service that it offered? 13 A. Similar to E-Trade or Ameritrade. 14 Q. So you can -- like a customer can open an 15 account and place trades in the account similar to 16 E-Trade; is that what you mean? 17 A. Similar to E-Trade, yes. 18 Q. Okay. So in this -- okay. Let me go back to 19 my initial question which was I wanted to go through a 20 list of entities and can you tell me if they are the 21 same -- part of the same business or I guess the same -- 22 I guess, first of all, the same entity. I guess they're 23 different entities, but if they relate to the same 24 business or not?</p>	<p>1 A. Well, DAS Trader is a platform. It's not a 2 business. 3 Q. Okay. 4 A. It's a technology. 5 Q. The business is DAS Inc.; is that right? 6 A. DAS Inc. 7 Q. Okay. 8 A. And I don't have any interest in DAS, Inc., 9 and I never had an interest in DAS Inc. Other than when 10 it was a marital asset prior. 11 Q. Is that a company that you founded or that 12 you started with your ex-wife or that she started? 13 A. I was never an owner of that, in DAS Inc., in 14 the actual trading platform, probably 18 years ago, I 15 helped and I had an ownership interest in the platform 16 but I don't, and have not had one in many years. 17 Q. Okay. And then DayTrader or DayTrader Pro? 18 A. DayTrader Pro is a website. 19 Q. Was that the same website as SureTrader, like 20 did it become SureTrader or is it different? What's the 21 difference between SureTrader and DayTrader? 22 A. They're unrelated businesses. 23 Q. Did they both exist at the same time? Does 24 Daytrader Pro still exist?</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.

GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 13	Page 15
<p>1 A. Daytraderpro.com? There's no business by 2 that name. There's a website by that name. 3 Q. Okay. That's just a website? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. And does that still exist, the 6 website? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Okay. GBox Trading? 9 A. That business does not exist. 10 Q. Okay. Is that a business that you used to 11 own? 12 A. I had an interest in it. I was a member of 13 it, years ago. 14 Q. Is that -- was it affiliated with any of the 15 other entities I just mentioned, like DAS Trader, 16 DayTrader, SureTrader, MintBroker? 17 A. It was not affiliated. It was just a company 18 I was a member of. 19 Q. Okay. And was it also a trading platform 20 website or -- 21 A. No. 22 Q. What was that company? 23 A. I don't see how that's related to what we're 24 talking about.</p>	<p>1 helpful. 2 MS. TAUBER: Right. So -- right. Yes, 3 perfect. 4 MR. FORD: Those are the two -- 5 MS. TAUBER: An affiliate wouldn't mean like 6 did they change their name to become that company 7 or something got presently affiliated but is it a 8 successor or a predecessor company to the 9 defendant; yeah? Okay. BY MS. TAUBER: 11 Q. Okay. So Instant X Trading? 12 A. I don't own it. 13 Q. And is it affiliated? 14 A. I have no ownership. I've never owned it. 15 Q. So it's -- okay. 16 A. It's not affiliated to me. 17 Q. With MintBroker, no. Okay. 18 Investors Live or Investors Underground? 19 A. I never owned it. It's not affiliated with 20 me. 21 Q. Okay. Jolt Securities? 22 A. That company, I owned for a brief period, but 23 it's been out of business for almost a decade. 24 Q. Okay. And that's not -- wasn't a predecessor</p>
<p>1 Q. I guess -- it's usually because there's a lot 2 of names and I -- 3 A. It's unrelated to your complaint. Next 4 question. 5 Q. Well, I disagree. Let's keep going. So 6 Gilmore Capital? 7 A. I have no ownership in Gilmore Capital. 8 Q. Is that a related entity? 9 A. I -- 10 Q. I'm just -- if you could just tell me -- it 11 will go faster if you just tell me if they're affiliated 12 or not or related or if they're companies that you own 13 or -- 14 MR. FORD: I'm sorry. Just to be clear: The 15 question that you're asking, and I also do want to 16 move this along. 17 MS. TAUBER: Yeah. 18 MR. FORD: The question that you're asking is 19 when you name a name, you want to know whether he 20 owns it -- one, whether he owns it and two, 21 whether it's affiliated with MintBroker. 22 MS. TAUBER: Correct. 23 MR. FORD: So he'll do each of those names in 24 two parts and he can say yes or no, if that's</p>	<p>1 entity to MintBroker or SureTrader.com. 2 A. No. 3 Q. KAG Holdings? 4 A. It's unrelated to MintBroker International. 5 Q. Okay. So you -- but you do own that company? 6 A. I don't own it. 7 Q. Oh, okay. 8 MR. FORD: You do not. 9 THE WITNESS: (Indicating no.) 10 Q. Mint Bank? 11 A. That was never even incorporated. 12 Q. Okay. So it's just a name that you 13 registered or -- 14 A. Tried to register. 15 Q. Okay. Mint Funds? 16 A. It's still in business. 17 Q. Affiliated with MintBroker? 18 A. It's not affiliated. I own it. 19 Q. Okay. Mint Global Markets? 20 A. Okay. There's -- that is still in business 21 but unaffiliated. 22 Q. And do you own that company? 23 A. Not directly. 24 Q. Okay. Mint Trade?</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 17	Page 19
<p>1 A. Don't own Mint Trade.</p> <p>2 Q. It exists?</p> <p>3 A. I don't know. Never heard of it. Mint</p> <p>4 Trade.</p> <p>5 Q. Mint Investor?</p> <p>6 A. That I own, unaffiliated.</p> <p>7 Q. Unaffiliated?</p> <p>8 A. Unaffiliated with that business.</p> <p>9 Q. With MintBroker, okay.</p> <p>10 And then Speed Trader or Speed Trader Pro?</p> <p>11 A. That is a website. It's not a business.</p> <p>12 Q. Is it the name as Sure -- like did it change</p> <p>13 its name to SureTrader.com., at some point?</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 Q. Separate?</p> <p>16 A. Separate entities.</p> <p>17 Q. It existed the same time?</p> <p>18 A. They existed at the same time.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Stock USA or Stock USA Execution?</p> <p>20 A. It's unaffiliated.</p> <p>21 Q. Is that the same as Mint Global Markets?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. And then SureTrader is a website you</p> <p>24 said?</p>	<p>1 A. I never owned ProTrade.com.</p> <p>2 Q. Like how about ProTrade Inc.? Or I don't</p> <p>3 really know what the suffix would be.</p> <p>4 A. I didn't own a company named ProTrade Inc. Or</p> <p>5 ProTrade.com.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Did you own a company with ProTrade in</p> <p>7 its name?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. What was that called?</p> <p>10 A. ProTrade Securities.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. And is that the same as the other</p> <p>12 entities I just mentioned like Mint Global Markets --</p> <p>13 A. Unrelated to any other business.</p> <p>14 Q. -- or anything like that?</p> <p>15 Okay. So of these companies, the ones that</p> <p>16 are presently in business, are MintBroker International,</p> <p>17 is that correct, the defendant in this case?</p> <p>18 A. Define "in business."</p> <p>19 Q. I guess -- it's a good point.</p> <p>20 Does it still exist as an entity?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. And is there still an active business?</p> <p>23 A. No.</p> <p>24 Q. Does it have offices right now?</p>
Page 18	Page 20
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Swiss America Securities?</p> <p>3 A. Well, that is the same as MintBroker</p> <p>4 International.</p> <p>5 Q. So Swiss America Securities changed its name</p> <p>6 to MintBroker; is that --</p> <p>7 A. Correct, MintBroker International.</p> <p>8 Q. When did that name change happen?</p> <p>9 A. I don't remember exactly when, but I guess it</p> <p>10 was around 2016 or 2017 range.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Why did it change its name?</p> <p>12 A. Because I wanted to change the name.</p> <p>13 Q. Just like you didn't like -- you were sick of</p> <p>14 the other name? Were there any -- there was no reason?</p> <p>15 MR. FORD: Objection. You have to --</p> <p>16 MS. TAUBER: What --</p> <p>17 MR. FORD: -- phrase the question better.</p> <p>18 Q. There was no reason that you recall for the</p> <p>19 name change?</p> <p>20 A. I wanted to change the name. It was a</p> <p>21 business decision.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. And the last one is ProTrade?</p> <p>23 A. ProTrade what?</p> <p>24 Q. Dot com.</p>	<p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. A phone number, any employee? A phone</p> <p>3 number?</p> <p>4 A. Doesn't have any operation.</p> <p>5 Q. No employees?</p> <p>6 A. (Indicating no.)</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. When is the last day that it was in</p> <p>8 business?</p> <p>9 MR. FORD: You have to -- if the record could</p> <p>10 reflect, he said -- he shook his head no. Just</p> <p>11 remember to say yes or no so the court reporter</p> <p>12 can get it.</p> <p>13 A. Yes. Sorry.</p> <p>14 Q. When was the last day that MintBroker</p> <p>15 International was in business?</p> <p>16 A. I don't know the exact day.</p> <p>17 Q. More or less.</p> <p>18 A. Give you a month.</p> <p>19 Q. Sure.</p> <p>20 A. I believe it was November or December of last</p> <p>21 year.</p> <p>22 Q. Of 2018?</p> <p>23 A. Yeah.</p> <p>24 MR. LOPEZ: '19.</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.

GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 21	Page 23
<p>1 A. '19.</p> <p>2 Q. '19. Thank you. When -- like -- so before 3 it closed, let's say, in October of 2019, how many 4 people worked there?</p> <p>5 A. Between 50 or 60.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. And were -- the offices were in the 7 Bahamas; is that correct?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Were there any other office locations?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. How many people who worked there were 12 registered broker/dealers in the U.S.?</p> <p>13 A. I don't understand the question.</p> <p>14 Q. Meaning -- okay. Let me just start with -- 15 was -- is MintBroker International or was it a 16 registered broker/dealer?</p> <p>17 A. In what jurisdiction?</p> <p>18 Q. In the United States. Let's start with that.</p> <p>19 A. No.</p> <p>20 Q. No. Was it registered with the Bahamas?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. How many people who worked there -- 23 did anybody who worked there, other than you worked with 24 there, was anybody a registered U.S. broker/dealer?</p>	<p>1 Q. Are they affiliated with each other? Do they 2 have -- do they share any of the same like officers, 3 directors, employees?</p> <p>4 A. No.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Are you an officer of either of Mint 6 Global Markets?</p> <p>7 A. No.</p> <p>8 Q. Are you an officer of MintBroker?</p> <p>9 A. MintBroker International LTD Bahamas.</p> <p>10 Q. Yes.</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. Who are the other officers of 13 MintBroker International?</p> <p>14 A. Right now? I don't believe there's anyone 15 else right now.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay.</p> <p>17 A. Maybe -- maybe the secretary is still on 18 board.</p> <p>19 Q. And who is that?</p> <p>20 A. Michael Miller.</p> <p>21 Q. And when -- if you recall, when was 22 MintBroker International formed?</p> <p>23 A. In 2008, I believe. Formed as Swiss America 24 Securities.</p>
Page 22	Page 24
<p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Were there Bahamas broker/dealers who 3 worked there?</p> <p>4 A. I mean I don't know what you mean by the word 5 "broker/dealer" because I'm just not familiar with the 6 way you're using the word "broker/dealer" because 7 individuals are not broker/dealers. Companies are 8 broker/dealers.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. So a registered -- well, so you're 10 aware that United States brokers have to be registered 11 with FINRA; correct? Are you aware of that requirement?</p> <p>12 A. Broker/dealers are required to be registered 13 with FINRA.</p> <p>14 Q. Individuals also have to have licenses?</p> <p>15 A. They're licensed with their firms as far as 16 I'm concerned. As far as I'm aware, they're licensed by 17 the firm, they don't get licensed directly with FINRA.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. So was -- is Mint Global Markets, the 19 one that's still in business, I think you said, is that 20 a registered U.S. broker/dealer?</p> <p>21 A. As far as I'm aware, yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. And did you say that was affiliated 23 with MintBroker? I can't recall?</p> <p>24 A. I did not. They're separate entities.</p>	<p>1 Q. And so you have been an officer the entire 2 time; is that correct?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. And so along with you throughout its 5 existence, who have been other officers, if you recall?</p> <p>6 A. Well, like I said, Michael Miller was the 7 secretary. And trying to remember, Philip Dorset was an 8 officer of the company, the compliance officer for -- at 9 the time, and Antonio Collie was -- became a director 10 probably, I don't remember exactly what year, maybe 11 2016. And then the compliance, a new compliance officer 12 then joined to become an officer.</p> <p>13 Q. And who was that?</p> <p>14 A. I can come back to that. I just -- losing 15 his name for the moment. Cooper, Mr. Cooper.</p> <p>16 Q. And so are these individuals, any of them, 17 Mr. Cooper, Collie, Miller, Dorset, are they U.S. 18 citizens?</p> <p>19 A. Not to my knowledge.</p> <p>20 Q. So are they residents of the Bahamas?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. 23 Can I read you some other names of possible 24 employees of MintBroker and you tell me if they are</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 25	Page 27
<p>1 employees and if they're U.S. citizens; so a two-part 2 question?</p> <p>3 MR. LOPEZ: At July and August 2018, not 4 everyone, just the relevant dates.</p> <p>5 MR. FORD: Miriam, I'm sorry. You just want 6 to run through a list of names --</p> <p>7 MS. TAUBER: I want to know if they still 8 work there or worked at these companies. They're 9 just names that appeared in the documents that 10 were produced to us; so I want to know if they are 11 his employees or not.</p> <p>12 MR. FORD: I'm sorry. I thought I understood 13 you were asking whether he knew if they were U.S. 14 citizens.</p> <p>15 MS. TAUBER: Oh, right, and U.S. citizens, 16 right.</p> <p>17 MR. FORD: Yeah. Okay. So you want to know 18 what their citizenship is.</p> <p>19 MS. TAUBER: Well, yeah. They I guess are 20 residents of the United States if he doesn't know 21 if they're U.S. citizens or not. Yeah? It's just 22 a few names.</p> <p>23 Q. So Justin Richie?</p> <p>24 A. As far as I know he's a Bahamian citizen.</p>	<p>1 A. I mean, do I have to disclose the name of my trust? It's not relevant to this.</p> <p>3 Q. What's his relationship with you?</p> <p>4 A. I just told you. He's a trustee. It's a trust that was created.</p> <p>6 Q. What's your relationship with the trust?</p> <p>7 Meaning --</p> <p>8 A. This is a trust that was created a decade ago. It has nothing to do with this.</p> <p>10 Q. I'm just trying to -- how he's -- does he 11 work with you; like how -- what's your relationship with 12 him?</p> <p>13 A. He's a friend.</p> <p>14 Q. Is he not a business partner of yours?</p> <p>15 A. He's not a business partner of mine. He's worked for my company when it was my company, my company in New York.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Is that Mint Global Markets or --</p> <p>19 A. Yes, he was working there. He's, I believe, one of the directors of that entity.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. When you said your company in 22 New York, that's what you meant?</p> <p>23 A. Yes. It's not my company anymore because that's the company I'm referring to that's in a trust</p>
Page 26	Page 28
<p>1 Q. Okay. And he's an employee of MintBroker?</p> <p>2 A. Was.</p> <p>3 Q. Was.</p> <p>4 Antonio Collie -- Cooper, you said, his name 5 was Edward?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Irvin Raming?</p> <p>8 A. I believe he's always Bahamian; he worked for the company.</p> <p>10 Q. So anybody -- I've come across people who 11 have SureTrader.com email addresses just in some 12 documents. Are these all people that, to your 13 knowledge, if they had a SureTrader.com email address, 14 does that mean that they worked in the Bahamas for 15 SureTrader or for MintBroker or is there a different 16 entity they could be working for?</p> <p>17 A. As far as I'm aware that would be just people from the Bahamas.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Just go past that. And then who is 20 Nicholas Abatotakies; is that how you pronounce his 21 name? I don't know.</p> <p>22 A. He's someone that used to work for Mint Global Markets, and he's also a trustee for a trust.</p> <p>24 Q. What trust?</p>	<p>1 that he is the trustee of.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay.</p> <p>3 A. So I don't own that company for the last -- almost ten years I think.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Okay. So let's move to the trading 6 process. So you were saying that MintBroker -- can you 7 explain a little bit about how MintBroker's business 8 worked until it went out of business. I guess how -- 9 what -- what its main business was and how -- sort of -- 10 well, I guess -- what is MintBroker's main business?</p> <p>11 MR. FORD: Miriam, I'll give you a little 12 leeway on this but the relevancy here on -- to 13 this case.</p> <p>14 MS. TAUBER: I'm trying to get to like the 15 document issue and like where the documents are, 16 the records and how they would be kept just in the 17 normal course of business, and like clear this 18 issue of whether MintBroker had relevant documents 19 that it could produce to us.</p> <p>20 MR. FORD: I'm perfectly happy with you 21 asking about the documents and where they might be 22 kept sort of but going into the full business of 23 the company strikes me as just really far afield. 24 I'm giving you leeway. I'm trying not to</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.

GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 29	Page 31
<p>1 interrupt.</p> <p>2 MS. TAUBER: Right.</p> <p>3 MR. FORD: But I just want to -- this is not</p> <p>4 a case about the fullness of MintBroker</p> <p>5 International's business.</p> <p>6 MS. TAUBER: Okay.</p> <p>7 MR. FORD: But if you want to ask about</p> <p>8 document collection, you're welcome to go there of</p> <p>9 course.</p> <p>10 MS. TAUBER: If you could take -- well, okay,</p> <p>11 taking us back to our hearing about this like you</p> <p>12 had said and I'll just paraphrase you, and said</p> <p>13 oh, like Mr. Gentile's sitting in the computer and</p> <p>14 trading, I just want to sort of get into that a</p> <p>15 little bit and if you could take me through that</p> <p>16 and explain to me what that means like when you're</p> <p>17 on the computer trading and what kind of sort of</p> <p>18 account statements or things like that you might</p> <p>19 be seeing when you're trading and that are</p> <p>20 generated as you're doing that.</p> <p>21 MR. FORD: Miriam, respectfully that is not a</p> <p>22 question.</p> <p>23 MS. TAUBER: No, that's not my question.</p> <p>24 That's an overview of this topic.</p>	<p>1 A. With a computer.</p> <p>2 Q. So you log on to a website?</p> <p>3 A. I --</p> <p>4 MR. LOPEZ: Can I consult with Miriam for a</p> <p>5 minute.</p> <p>6 MR. FORD: Of course, absolutely. Yes.</p> <p>7 MS. TAUBER: Yes, okay. All these questions</p> <p>8 relate to July and August 2018. Is that clear?</p> <p>9 That's the relevant time period. So I don't need</p> <p>10 to know anything beyond that.</p> <p>11 MR. FORD: Thank you.</p> <p>12 Q. So you log on to a website to do the trading</p> <p>13 for MintBroker's own account; is that correct?</p> <p>14 A. I would basically log on to the trading</p> <p>15 platform that MintBroker had access to, which one of</p> <p>16 them for example is Interactive Brokers and plus the</p> <p>17 trades.</p> <p>18 Q. And what -- so you would go to</p> <p>19 interactive.com and then log in?</p> <p>20 A. I wouldn't have to just go to the website if</p> <p>21 I have the platform already installed on my computer.</p> <p>22 Q. The platform being on the Interactive</p> <p>23 software?</p> <p>24 A. Yeah.</p>
<p>1 MR. FORD: Okay. Very good.</p> <p>2 MS. TAUBER: To clear the ambiguity of where</p> <p>3 it's going. That's where I'm trying to get to.</p> <p>4 MR. FORD: Okay.</p> <p>5 BY MS. TAUBER:</p> <p>6 Q. So what is MintBroker's business in the</p> <p>7 Bahamas?</p> <p>8 A. It's an online trading firm.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. And you are a manager of that</p> <p>10 business; right?</p> <p>11 A. Well, when it existed, I was the manager of</p> <p>12 that business.</p> <p>13 Q. Right, and it -- does MintBroker trade on</p> <p>14 behalf of clients, customers?</p> <p>15 A. It doesn't make decisions on behalf of</p> <p>16 clients, if that's what you mean.</p> <p>17 Q. Yeah, sure. But does it -- so we'll do the</p> <p>18 client part later but does MintBroker also trade for its</p> <p>19 own account?</p> <p>20 A. It did.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. And then when MintBroker is trading</p> <p>22 for its own account, is that you doing the trading?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. How do you actually do the trading?</p>	<p>1 Q. And so you would open that program and then</p> <p>2 do you have a log-in or anything like that? How do you</p> <p>3 actually get in?</p> <p>4 A. There would be a log-in credential.</p> <p>5 Q. And when you get in to that, when you log in,</p> <p>6 do you see like options to look at your trading history</p> <p>7 or your trading confirmations or any kind of past</p> <p>8 activity?</p> <p>9 A. The platform would give you limited access to</p> <p>10 that type of information to get into history you have to</p> <p>11 go to their website.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. So you could go to their website and</p> <p>13 then print out your account history?</p> <p>14 A. Your past trades.</p> <p>15 Q. Your past trades. And then do you also have</p> <p>16 account statements like monthly statements that you</p> <p>17 would get in the mail?</p> <p>18 A. I don't remember ever seeing a monthly</p> <p>19 statement from Interactive Brokers.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. How about trade confirmations when</p> <p>21 you'd place a trade?</p> <p>22 A. I don't remember ever seeing a trade</p> <p>23 confirmation that came in the mail from Interactive</p> <p>24 Brokers.</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.

GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 85	Page 87
<p>1 get us to that answer. So --</p> <p>2 MS. TAUBER: Okay. What would -- maybe you</p> <p>3 could tell me that. Like what -- if I wanted to</p> <p>4 look at 13D, and let's see. Going back to</p> <p>5 Exhibits 1 and 2 --</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: Well, you know, you said you're</p> <p>7 going to do a comparison; so you can start with</p> <p>8 that.</p> <p>9 Q. Right. But then let's say -- let's say we do</p> <p>10 the comparison -- I mean -- so I'm just trying to</p> <p>11 foresee a possible -- you know, we have you today. So</p> <p>12 see possible things that might come up. So if I wanted</p> <p>13 to figure out -- let's say -- and I see, Oh, there is</p> <p>14 some extra trading in here. Must be some client trades,</p> <p>15 right? How do I determine which clients?</p> <p>16 MR. FORD: Could I suggest -- because I'm not</p> <p>17 certain he can answer that. Can I suggest, maybe,</p> <p>18 if you compare the trades from Interactive Brokers</p> <p>19 to the 13D, not now, I mean, after this.</p> <p>20 MS. TAUBER: Right.</p> <p>21 MR. FORD: And if there are any discrepancies</p> <p>22 between those, that I think that's a perfectly</p> <p>23 appropriate avenue for you to inquire into -- with</p> <p>24 us or, you know, sort of further -- rather than</p>	<p>1 THE WITNESS: I mean, the firm's closed at</p> <p>2 this time; right? So it's going to be a lot</p> <p>3 harder to get to certain things.</p> <p>4 MR. FORD: This is why I just -- let's see if</p> <p>5 they match up. And if they don't match up, we</p> <p>6 will work with you to figure it out.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: Besides the fact that there's</p> <p>8 laws that prohibit me disclosing client trade</p> <p>9 records with their names on it in the Bahamas.</p> <p>10 There's privacy laws there.</p> <p>11 MS. TAUBER: Uh-hum. Okay.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: There might be a process where</p> <p>13 you have to go through court there to get that</p> <p>14 information. Which individual client, you know,</p> <p>15 traded it, but --</p> <p>16 MR. FORD: I --</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: I really -- honestly, I don't</p> <p>18 believe it's going to be material.</p> <p>19 MS. TAUBER: Okay. All right. That's fair.</p> <p>20 BY MS. TAUBER:</p> <p>21 Q. So you believe that most of the 13D, Exhibits</p> <p>22 1 and 2 trades, are MintBroker trades?</p> <p>23 A. A majority of them should be.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Great.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 86</p> <p>1 him trying to -- I just don't want him sitting</p> <p>2 here, sort of, guessing as what the next steps</p> <p>3 are.</p> <p>4 MS. TAUBER: Right.</p> <p>5 MR. FORD: Because it's entirely possible</p> <p>6 that they may match up. I don't know sitting here</p> <p>7 today.</p> <p>8 MS. TAUBER: Right.</p> <p>9 MR. FORD: But I feel that they might match</p> <p>10 up. And if so, we're done, and if they don't, I</p> <p>11 feel like, this is, sort of, maybe something we</p> <p>12 can figure out without having Mr. Gentile, sort</p> <p>13 of, guess to try in how to figure out who it is</p> <p>14 here.</p> <p>15 MS. TAUBER: Okay. As long as there are</p> <p>16 assurances that, like, if that does become a</p> <p>17 question, that we will have some way of figuring</p> <p>18 out which clients owned these stocks, if there are</p> <p>19 any clients that owned these stocks.</p> <p>20 MR. FORD: Yeah.</p> <p>21 MS. TAUBER: Okay.</p> <p>22 MR. FORD: Well, we will --</p> <p>23 MS. TAUBER: There is a way to do that?</p> <p>24 Okay. Yeah.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 88</p> <p>1 Okay. So now moving on, about those trades,</p> <p>2 one of your defenses in this case is that some of these</p> <p>3 trades failed to clear; is that correct?</p> <p>4 A. I don't know what -- what they put in there.</p> <p>5 Q. Well, we can go to that answer if you want</p> <p>6 but --</p> <p>7 A. I don't know if we said they failed to clear.</p> <p>8 Assumed they would have. I don't remember.</p> <p>9 MS. TAUBER: Let's do that.</p> <p>10 So this is going to be 8, 9.</p> <p>11 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 8,</p> <p>12 ANSWER CASE NO. 18-cv-08896, was marked for</p> <p>13 identification.)</p> <p>14 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 9,</p> <p>15 ANSWER CASE NO. 1:18-cv-7291 was marked for</p> <p>16 identification.)</p> <p>17 Q. So if you flip to the end, you'll see</p> <p>18 affirmative defenses on the last two pages. This is</p> <p>19 Exhibit 9. Here's 9. It's the same, just different</p> <p>20 caption.</p> <p>21 Okay. So Exhibits 8 and 9 are the answers in</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 89	Page 91
<p>1 this case, to identify them for the record, and have you 2 seen these documents before?</p> <p>3 A. I believe I have.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. So if you look at Affirmative Defense 5 Number 1 or first affirmative defense, it says that they 6 related to trades that, well, I can read it. I have 7 another copy. Okay. It's -- the first affirmative 8 defense says -- let's start. It says, "Some or all of 9 plaintiff's claims or asserted damages fail, because 10 many of the alleged trades at issue either failed to 11 clear, or were related to shares that did not exist, 12 because the trades were naked short sales made by market 13 makers that have not borrowed shares and failed to 14 obtain a locate before the short as required by SEC 15 regulations."</p> <p>16 So is it your contention that many of the 17 trades on the 13Ds, which are Exhibits 3 and 4, I 18 believe? No, 1 and 2, just to be clear, 1 and 2, that 19 they failed to clear?</p> <p>20 A. I believe that they were most of the shares. 21 I believe many of the shares were shorted, and that they 22 were also done illegally, or illegally shorted. And had 23 I not sold the shares, that they would have all failed, 24 or most would have failed to clear.</p>	<p>1 blew up their whole entire firm and almost a clearing 2 firm. He shorted, I think, almost a million shares of a 3 stock that only had 600,000 shares in existence. So how 4 did he do it? Naked short selling, and that's exactly 5 what happened here, my belief is.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay.</p> <p>7 A. There was no insiders that I saw that sold 8 any shares. So how can someone accumulate 1.9 million 9 shares or a million shares if the insiders own a 10 majority of the shares don't sell; where did they come 11 from? They're phantom shares.</p> <p>12 Q. So I don't know exactly what you're talking 13 about. Are you talking about this case, or are you 14 talking about another case when you say that?</p> <p>15 A. I'm talking about these cases. You have the 16 CEO of Avalon came out and said he's not selling a 17 share. He hasn't sold any shares. There was no filings 18 done from any insiders that sold shares. So how can 19 someone buy 66 percent of the company and no one sold?</p> <p>20 Q. Well, so -- okay. I mean, not paying 21 attention to theory, but if, let's say, there's a 22 hundred shares of a company outstanding, right, it's 23 still possible for someone to buy and sell thousands of 24 shares that day; isn't that correct?</p>
Page 90	Page 92
<p>1 Q. Sorry. So let's just break it down --</p> <p>2 A. There's proof of that in -- in some documents 3 I've recently seen that came from ETC where hardly any 4 shares moved from the clearing firm, and IB barely got 5 any shares. So I don't see how they would have been 6 able to clear those trades. And I also know, at this 7 point, that the SEC is looking into naked short selling, 8 not just in this particular deal, but it's an area of 9 topic that they're looking at; that many firms have been 10 violating this rule, which allowed this to happen.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. So naked short selling is -- would you 12 describe that for me; what you think that is?</p> <p>13 A. That they don't actually get an affirmative 14 locate from someone that's long to borrow those shares 15 to be able to short it. What has been happening is they 16 might get a small low-key, and then they flip it over 17 and over and over and over again, and the shorts end up 18 shorting hundreds of thousands of shares, of shares that 19 did not locate, you know, in hopes that a seller will 20 come in, and that they can buy it back cheaper.</p> <p>21 Q. Uh-hum. Okay.</p> <p>22 A. All right. It's also known that market 23 makers do this all the time. In fact, there was a 24 market maker recently about less than a year ago that</p>	<p>1 A. Legally?</p> <p>2 Q. Yes, because you could buy-sell, buy-sell, 3 buy-sell all day long --</p> <p>4 A. No.</p> <p>5 Q. -- the same shares?</p> <p>6 A. You're wrong, because under what the SEC is 7 saying, They can only do it once, and that's it. If you 8 locate shares -- you located a hundred shares. That's 9 all that exists; you only short a hundred shares. If 10 you then buy those shares back, you cannot short it 11 gain.</p> <p>12 Q. But forget about short selling for a moment. 13 Like you -- it's possible to trade more than the number 14 of shares there are outstanding, just establishing that; 15 right?</p> <p>16 A. Yes. There's companies that have traded more 17 than the float that exists. But many times, that's 18 because it's a massive float, you know, taking a company 19 that has 30 million shares. If there's news, it might 20 trade 50 million shares in a single day, but that's what 21 insiders actually selling some shares, a real seller 22 coming into the market for those shares that rotate 23 hands.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. I mean you -- the fact that you can</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 93	Page 95
<p>1 trade like -- it is possible to trade more shares than 2 there are outstanding; do you agree with that statement? 3 A. I don't believe it can be done legally, 4 unless there's a real seller that comes into the market. 5 Q. Right. But so if you look at these -- some 6 of that -- Plaintiff's 1 and 2, like -- there's like 7 many, many purchases and sells in the same day; do you 8 recall that? 9 A. Uh-hum. 10 Q. Or just take a few minutes to -- 11 MR. FORD: I'm sorry. Just take it from -- 12 Q. If you look at a line item of like -- for example, if you go to the right page, like the second 14 page of this <u>Exhibit 1</u>. These trades are all on the 15 same day, I believe, on this one page, June 28th. Okay. 16 MR. FORD: And I'm sorry. You're saying that 17 they are -- 18 MS. TAUBER: So I'm saying -- 19 MR. FORD: -- buys and sells. 20 Q. Well, I don't think these pages don't have buys and sells, but if you turn to the next page, you 22 probably will see some. 23 A. But it wasn't more than the shares existed. 24 There's a difference.</p>	<p>1 them back from that buyer, and I sell them to a 2 different buyer, right, and then I buy them back from 3 that buyer, and sell to a different buyer. So that it 4 seem -- I can buy and sell the same actual shares all 5 day long if I wanted. 6 A. Okay. But you're talking about day trading. 7 Q. Okay. 8 A. Right. You're talking about someone just day 9 trading back and forth. 10 Q. Sure. 11 A. Right. But there's also -- in day trading, 12 there's short sellers, right, and those short sellers 13 have to borrow shares in order to short. And what 14 causes these type of movements is when you have naked 15 short selling. 16 Q. I'd rather not get at why you think there's any short selling here, right, as opposed to just buying 18 and selling, the same as day trading, what you just 19 described as day trading. 20 A. Because look at the DTC sheets. You're going 21 to see a certain number of shares, at clearing firms. 22 Interactive Brokers buys 1.9 million shares. There's no 23 reflection of 1.9 million shares ever going to 24 Interactive Brokers, right. So all that trading was</p>
Page 94	Page 96
<p>1 Q. Okay. But I'm just saying that you could buy 2 and sell -- like you could buy from one seller and then 3 sell to another seller, right, the same shares? 4 A. Yeah. 5 Q. And then buy -- that seller who just bought, 6 they can buy those shares back, and then sell to 7 somebody else; right? So I can sell those shares a 8 thousand times in one day? 9 A. That's not what happened here. 10 Q. Okay. Why do you think that? 11 MR. FORD: Wait. Why does he think what? 12 MS. TAUBER: That that's not what happened here. 14 MR. FORD: What does the "what" mean. 15 MS. TAUBER: What I just described; that you could buy and sell the same shares, you know, from -- the same shares can change hands many times in one day. 19 MR. FORD: I'm sorry. Could you -- do you understand that? I don't, because I'm not -- 21 could you just start plain fresh question -- 22 Q. Imagine a scenario where the same buyer, me, 23 I'm a buyer. I buy the shares from Seller A. I sell 24 them to seller -- to buyer, another buyer, then I buy</p>	<p>1 happening internally against short sellers, because no 2 one actually sold or very few people sold. 3 Q. Okay. So we have -- you mentioned the DTC 4 documents. We also have -- you know, the exchanges have 5 produced to us. I don't know if you've seen these. 6 They're trading records of all the trades that took 7 place. 8 A. I didn't see that. Does it tell you how many 9 orders were short? 10 Q. I mean, there's a lot of information on there. I don't -- maybe some do, and some don't. But 12 my understanding is that the trades that are reflected in those records existed. Like those trades actually 14 took place, even if -- right. So you were describing 15 that shares might not have moved, right, from -- because 16 they're internal but the -- 17 MS. McLAUGHLIN: Excuse me, Counsel. We can 18 go off the record if you'd like. 19 (Off the record.) 20 MS. TAUBER: Back on the record. 21 MR. FORD: Actually, before you ask the next question: There was a conversation held off the record where the parties discussed that certain documents that have been produced by third</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 97	Page 99
<p>1 parties, specifically the New York Stock 2 Exchange --</p> <p>3 MS. TAUBER: NASDAQ.</p> <p>4 MR. FORD: -- NASDAQ. It appears that 5 plaintiff has received those copies and defendants 6 have not yet received a copy. The parties are 7 going to work together to make sure everyone has 8 all the documents.</p> <p>9 MS. TAUBER: Great.</p> <p>10 BY MS. TAUBER:</p> <p>11 Q. So -- okay. Did you engage in this naked 12 short selling, or you on behalf of MintBroker?</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. Or any of the trades -- is it your contention 15 that any of the trades that are in this 13D are -- pages 16 1 and 2, are naked shorts?</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. No. Okay. So what is the relevance of this 19 naked-short discussion then?</p> <p>20 A. Because you asked me a question on why do I 21 believe that they failed to deliver.</p> <p>22 Q. Failed to clear is what I think --</p> <p>23 A. Well, deliver, it's the same thing, and --</p> <p>24 MR. FORD: I think that's -- those --</p>	<p>1 prove that through the DTC that that's exactly what 2 happened. And, also, if the exchanges break down their 3 orders of whether it was marked short or not, you're 4 going to see a lot of the selling I'm -- I don't know 5 for sure. I'm going to guess. But I'm going to guess 6 70 percent of the -- I'm going to say -- maybe 60 to 70 7 percent of the sell orders were actually short orders.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. And of the sell orders, are you 9 referring to the sales that we're seeing on Plaintiff's 10 1 and 2, these 13Ds?</p> <p>11 A. No, I'm referring to the -- these are buy 12 orders. Someone else had to sell. So it was either a 13 long sell or a short sell. I'm saying it was mostly 14 short sells against these buy orders and not -- people 15 that actually had shares.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. But what about the sales that are on 17 the 13Ds?</p> <p>18 A. Well, those are sales against the long 19 position that the firm had; so that cannot be a short.</p> <p>20 MR. FORD: It's a sale, not a short.</p> <p>21 Q. But --</p> <p>22 A. If I have a million shares, and I sell my 23 million shares, that's a sale.</p> <p>24 Q. But you're saying you didn't have -- aren't</p>
<p>1 A. That was the question you asked. 2 MR. FORD: That was the question you asked. 3 It would be relevant.</p> <p>4 A. That was my answer.</p> <p>5 Q. Right. So I asked the question: Why do you 6 think the trades on <u>Exhibit 1</u> and 2 failed to clear, any 7 of the trades on Exhibits 1 and 2 failed to clear? You 8 described this naked short-selling practice, but then I 9 asked if you if you think any of these trades are naked 10 shorts, and you said no; right?</p> <p>11 A. These are not shorts. Other people -- 12 MR. FORD: You asked if his trades were naked 13 shorts.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Yes, but I thought we established that 15 like --</p> <p>16 A. My shares were not shorting, and 17 specifically, I did not want these shares to be lent out 18 to any shorts. But it happened anyway, and that's why 19 it's naked short selling. I specifically asked 20 Interactive Brokers not to lend out these shares. So 21 how could I have bought 1.9 million shares? No insider 22 sold, but yet I was able to buy all those shares. Where 23 did think come from? The only place they could have 24 come from is naked short sellers, and the evidence will</p>	<p>1 you saying that you didn't have a million shares, 2 because it began to clear?</p> <p>3 A. I'm saying that whoever sold those shares 4 didn't have those shares.</p> <p>5 Q. Right.</p> <p>6 A. And, therefore, if I never sold, they never 7 would have been able to clear; they would have all 8 failed to clear.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. So let's break it down. There's buys 10 and sells --</p> <p>11 A. Yeah.</p> <p>12 Q. -- on these Plaintiff's 1 and 2; right?</p> <p>13 A. Uh-huh.</p> <p>14 Q. Let's talk about the buys first. The buys, 15 you are saying -- are you saying that a lot of those 16 buys that says some unknown numbers of them were being 17 bought from naked short sellers?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. But then --I'll just posit to you 20 that, like, there's almost an equivalent number of 21 shares that are indicated as having been sold and bought 22 on these 13Ds?</p> <p>23 A. On here.</p> <p>24 Q. Yeah.</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 101	Page 103
<p>1 A. So what I believe is that when these shares 2 were bought, they were mostly bought by naked short 3 sellers. And that when these shares were sold, that's 4 when the naked short sellers covered it. They bought 5 against their shorts.</p> <p>6 Q. Bought and sold by MintBroker.</p> <p>7 A. Well, MintBroker or a client. Like I said, 8 We don't know for sure.</p> <p>9 Q. Right. But you also said that you think it's 10 mostly MintBroker's trades on this; right?</p> <p>11 A. Yep. I believe.</p> <p>12 Q. Let's assume for this conversation that it's 13 all MintBroker's trades. Just let's assume that for one 14 second. So for this conversation. So assume it's all 15 MintBroker trades on Plaintiff's 1 and 2, are you saying 16 the buys listed as MintBroker's buys assuming they are 17 MintBroker's and not clients were bought from naked 18 short sellers --</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. -- who are not MintBroker; right?</p> <p>21 A. Correct.</p> <p>22 Q. But other parties; right?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. And then --</p>	<p>1 A. And Interactive Brokers actually doesn't even 2 -- if you look at theirs, they only received, like, 3 30,000 shares, which means that they've been -- they've 4 actually never received delivery of the long shares.</p> <p>5 Q. But we just talked about how, on the same 6 day, a random day on this 13D, let's say, June 28, 7 there's buys and sells, right, a lot of both? From --</p> <p>8 MR. FORD: That MintBroker is doing?</p> <p>9 MS. TAUBER: Well, this is -- again, this 10 goes to the issue of what is on the 13Ds? Is it 11 only MintBroker's trades or not; right.</p> <p>12 MR. FORD: No, but if we assume for this 13 conversation --</p> <p>14 MS. TAUBER: Right.</p> <p>15 MR. FORD: We'll deal with that. I'm sorry. 16 You're suggesting that there are buys and sells on 17 the same day.</p> <p>18 MS. TAUBER: Yeah. Yeah.</p> <p>19 MR. FORD: Okay.</p> <p>20 MS. TAUBER: Yes. So now I'm saying that 21 you -- let's -- that you would have --</p> <p>22 Q. You wouldn't necessarily expect every share 23 you bought to be, just be transferred, because you also 24 sold some shares that day?</p>
<p>1 A. Unrelated third parties. I have no idea who 2 they are.</p> <p>3 Q. Not customers. Okay. So --</p> <p>4 A. I mean, there could have been some customers 5 that sold short, as well, but it wouldn't have been that 6 many, maybe 10- or 20,000 shares. I have no idea. I 7 don't know.</p> <p>8 MR. FORD: You're --</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: It's a guess. Customers can 10 short also. At that time, customers were able to 11 short.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. So now, you're saying those buys, the 13 ones I just described, MintBroker's buys that you're 14 saying were coming from short sellers, those buys would 15 have failed to clear under your -- what you're saying?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. And you're saying that you can tell 18 they failed to clear is that the shares were not 19 transferred?</p> <p>20 A. That's right. Between clearing firms, they 21 basically mostly stayed at the same place. But the 22 before and after snapshots, you don't have the 1.9 23 million shares moving between clearing firms.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay.</p>	<p>1 A. But you're talking about --</p> <p>2 Q. Netting out the position.</p> <p>3 A. You're talking about from my recollection, 4 which is a year and a half ago of -- let's just say I 5 bought half-a-million shares, I may have only sold 50 6 thousand.</p> <p>7 Q. Right.</p> <p>8 A. Right. So the net long position is 450,000.</p> <p>9 Q. And that's the number of shares you'd expect 10 to see transferred, not the full amount that you bought?</p> <p>11 A. Exactly.</p> <p>12 Q. Right.</p> <p>13 A. And out of that, I don't believe hardly any 14 of it came.</p> <p>15 Q. Out of the net --</p> <p>16 A. Less, less, yeah. Less -- out of the whole 17 thing, less than two or three percent actually comes 18 into IB.</p> <p>19 Q. The whole thing meaning?</p> <p>20 A. The 1.9 million shares.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Let's say you buy 500 and you sell 400 22 that same day.</p> <p>23 A. No. I wasn't doing that. I wasn't doing 24 that. I was buying 500 and, maybe, selling 50 or a</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 105	Page 107
<p>1 hundred; so net -- net building a position, 400,000.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay.</p> <p>3 A. But IB never receives those 400,000.</p> <p>4 Q. The 400,000, we're talking about now; right?</p> <p>5 A. Yeah.</p> <p>6 Q. So again, well -- so it's been -- I guess I'm</p> <p>7 trying to establish it's not the case that you would</p> <p>8 expect every share you bought to be transferred into</p> <p>9 your account, because you also sold shares.</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay.</p> <p>12 A. And within the same day, all right. If it's</p> <p>13 the sales the next day, then those shares still come to</p> <p>14 the account.</p> <p>15 Q. The next day, right.</p> <p>16 A. If I bought today and I sell tomorrow, those</p> <p>17 shares still need to come to my account.</p> <p>18 Q. Well, I don't know if there's, like, a</p> <p>19 three-day clear -- you know, I don't know what the time</p> <p>20 period is for clearing, but it could be not the next</p> <p>21 day.</p> <p>22 A. Trade day plus two, I believe, or could have</p> <p>23 been trade day plus three back then. I'm not sure.</p> <p>24 Q. Right. So they could be netting out trades</p>	<p>1 We're also talking about how you might not see the</p> <p>2 shares transferred, because you might have sold them the</p> <p>3 next day; right?</p> <p>4 A. No. They should still come in, not the next</p> <p>5 day, but within a few days' time. They still need to</p> <p>6 come in.</p> <p>7 MR. FORD: They need to come in by</p> <p>8 settlement; is that what you mean.</p> <p>9 A. But even if -- if I bought it today, if I</p> <p>10 bought a hundred thousand shares today, and I sell it</p> <p>11 tomorrow, on settlement, a hundred thousand shares still</p> <p>12 needs to come in, and then the next day, the hundred</p> <p>13 thousand shares leaves. They never came.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Well, but Interactive -- like, you're</p> <p>15 saying they have to come in, but if you sold them,</p> <p>16 right, let's say it's like a three-day period where</p> <p>17 you're buying and selling. And so yes, on one -- like</p> <p>18 each day is like --</p> <p>19 A. If I bought --</p> <p>20 Q. Each day is like, you're saying Day 1, you</p> <p>21 want to say within three days you want that net position</p> <p>22 to --</p> <p>23 A. To be sold.</p> <p>24 Q. -- come into your account. Right. But if on</p>
Page 106	Page 108
<p>1 over the course of several days, and then you would</p> <p>2 expect the net over three days, the three-day trading</p> <p>3 period, to be transferred; right? Right? Is that what</p> <p>4 you're saying?</p> <p>5 A. It should be there by settlement.</p> <p>6 Q. Unless you sold them?</p> <p>7 A. Unless you sold them.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay.</p> <p>9 A. Which then you never received delivery of</p> <p>10 those shares.</p> <p>11 Q. The shares that you bought and sold, you</p> <p>12 would never receive --</p> <p>13 A. You would never receive delivery.</p> <p>14 Q. Exactly, right, but you still would have</p> <p>15 bought and sold those shares; isn't that right?</p> <p>16 A. Yeah. You would have still bought and sold</p> <p>17 those shares, but you would never have -- actually</p> <p>18 physically had those shares -- -</p> <p>19 Q. Receive them.</p> <p>20 A. -- at that clearing firm.</p> <p>21 Q. Correct. And so that's why --</p> <p>22 A. Because it's -- like I said, short sellers</p> <p>23 were selling those shares.</p> <p>24 Q. Well, not just because of short sellers.</p>	<p>1 Day 2, you then sell that net position; right, those</p> <p>2 shares would never be put into your account, like, from</p> <p>3 -- cause Interactive is the one that has the shares;</p> <p>4 right?</p> <p>5 A. They still need to clear those shares.</p> <p>6 Q. They need to, but you don't necessarily see</p> <p>7 that in your account if you had sold them already?</p> <p>8 A. Well, I mean, there's settlement. There's a</p> <p>9 trade date, and then there's a settlement date; right?</p> <p>10 So they still have to settle those trades; they still</p> <p>11 need to receive those shares.</p> <p>12 Q. Uh-huh. Okay. But you don't have to receive</p> <p>13 them in your account. In other words, like, you've</p> <p>14 already sold them by the point that they'd be receiving</p> <p>15 them?</p> <p>16 A. I mean, there is situations that have</p> <p>17 happened in the past I've seen from my years of</p> <p>18 experience in the industry. There would -- there could</p> <p>19 be a situation where you bought a hundred thousand</p> <p>20 shares; right, from a naked short seller. And because</p> <p>21 those shares never come in, even though you sold them,</p> <p>22 you're still on the hook for those shares, because</p> <p>23 whoever you sold them to now, you can't deliver it to</p> <p>24 them; you understand?</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 109	Page 111
<p>1 Q. Well, but I'm not responsible for delivery 2 anyway. From my perspective in that example, I still 3 bought and sold those shares regardless of whether or 4 not there's a problem down the line with the clearing; 5 right?</p> <p>6 A. Well, I mean it depends. The clearing firm could -- could make it be a problem if they wanted to.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. I'll give that -- okay. So back to 9 the question about the naked short selling: When you 10 were describing naked short selling, you did not mean 11 that you, yourself, engaged in naked short selling and - 12 like, in this time period of this docs on the 13D?</p> <p>13 A. Correct. I did not.</p> <p>14 Q. So all the sales that you reported were not 15 naked, like, you had that stock in your account?</p> <p>16 A. I don't know that -- I don't believe the clearing firm had those shares.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. But from your perspective, they 19 weren't naked.</p> <p>20 A. So they could have been. Even though my orders are marked long sales, IB did not have those positions. IB did not have those trades. They did not have that inventory in their account. They're hoping that it's going to come in, right, but they didn't have</p>	<p>1 MS. TAUBER: No. I'm asking -- 2 MR. FORD: -- which you're aware of. Right. 3 MS. TAUBER: Okay. I'm just asking -- well, 4 trying to figure out facts based on what his 5 position is based.</p> <p>6 MR. FORD: Yes. That, you can do. 7 MS. TAUBER: Yes. I guess I'm just wondering 8 -- asking, Did you -- any sales that are on -- 9 listed on this 13D, assuming again, they're all -- 10 for now, they've all been broker sales, were you 11 paid for all those sales.</p> <p>12 A. What do you mean by "paid"? 13 Q. Like, say, on here, let's say you sold, on 14 one day, a thousand shares, at \$10 a share, were you 15 paid that money, regardless of whether or not -- 16 A. Did they -- did they reflect the sale in the account? 18 Q. Did you actually get the money in your 19 account? Like, you sold them for some money, like you 20 sold stock for cash, but did you get the cash? 21 A. Well, it was basically a buy and a sell. So are you asking if there was a profit on the trade? 23 Q. No. I'm asking like -- you were saying that 24 -- I'm just exploring this defense a little bit where</p>
<p>1 it, and the DTC sheets prove that. 2 Q. Okay. And what difference does that make to 3 you with a -- 4 A. What difference does it make to me? 5 Q. What difference does it made for those sales? 6 MR. FORD: Hold on. What do you mean? What 7 -- I don't understand that question. 8 Q. Meaning, let's say this is -- let's -- I'll 9 just -- let's just assume that you're right. Okay. And 10 I give you this whole thing, and you're right. You buy 11 the shares. They're not going to get them for you. 12 They're naked short seller selling them, and you sell 13 them. Right. Now, you're saying that -- but you never 14 had those shares to begin with, and so you can't sell 15 them potentially. But do you still get paid for that 16 trade? 17 MR. FORD: On that question, this is -- what 18 you've been doing is asking Mr. Gentile to 19 describe our legal defense. And you've been 20 putting it in factual questions, and so I've been 21 permitting it. 22 MS. TAUBER: Okay. 23 MR. FORD: But Mr. Gentile is not going to be 24 here to explain our legal position --</p>	<p>1 you're saying that the trade -- that some of the sales 2 -- like you bought the stock, and the stock didn't 3 exist, and you couldn't sell it and so -- or you have 4 sales listed here, but those sales can't be -- those 5 shares can't be delivered is that what you're saying; is 6 that right? 7 A. I'm saying that naked short sellers sold -- 8 Q. To you? 9 A. -- sold -- not to me but to -- to MintBroker 10 International, and that's where it bought the shares 11 from. That those shares never came into Interactive 12 Brokers, and then it did liquidate its long position 13 shortly after. 14 Q. Who's "it," MintBroker? 15 A. MintBroker International. 16 Q. Okay. So, I guess, I'm trying to understand: 17 You get -- when MintBroker buys, puts a buy order in; 18 right? 19 A. Uh-hum. 20 Q. Even if the buy order is being purchased from 21 naked short seller, you still are paying money for that 22 trade; right? 23 A. Yeah. There was money paid for the trade; 24 there was commissions paid for the trade --</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 113	Page 115
<p>1 Q. Right.</p> <p>2 A. -- and vice versa.</p> <p>3 Q. And then when you sell the stock, even if 4 there's some clearing issue, you're still getting paid 5 for that sale; right?</p> <p>6 A. I mean, I wouldn't refer to it as being paid 7 for the sale. You're just liquidating your position, 8 and taking your own money back basically; what you used 9 to purchase the trades with.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay, but you're taking money from the buyer 11 though, a third party.</p> <p>12 A. Well, I mean, if you spend a hundred thousand 13 dollars to buy securities, and then you sell them, then 14 you're going to get a hundred thousand dollars back in 15 your account, or more or less depending if there was a 16 difference in price.</p> <p>17 Q. Could be more or less -- exactly. So it's 18 not the money back.</p> <p>19 A. You know --</p> <p>20 Q. It's like buying a house, selling a house; 21 right?</p> <p>22 A. Uh-huh.</p> <p>23 Q. So a third-party is --</p> <p>24 A. But the difference is the person selling the</p>	<p>1 Q. Oh, the exchange. Okay.</p> <p>2 A. Which orders were sells, and which orders 3 were sell shorts. I mean, I don't know if it could be 4 reversed engineered back to each individual trade, 5 maybe. It would take a lot of time but, potentially, 6 can be done.</p> <p>7 Q. But from your perspective, you have some buys 8 here, let's just say at \$5 and some at \$10; right? How 9 do you -- do you --</p> <p>10 A. At that time, I don't --</p> <p>11 Q. You have no idea.</p> <p>12 A. At that time of purchasing, there's no way 13 for me to know whether it's a long sale or a short sale. 14 The way that I end up finding out is after a few days go 15 by, and there's no form force from any insider selling, 16 or CEO comes out and says, I'm not selling any shares; 17 then it's easy to assume that those are all shorts. If 18 he owns --</p> <p>19 Q. That's the way that you would confirm?</p> <p>20 A. -- 65 percent of the company, how can I own 21 65 percent, and someone else owns 65 percent?</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. So that's the way you would confirm it 23 was short selling, not based on the DTC that you were 24 describing? The DTC that --</p>
<p>1 house, they own the house. And, therefore, they could 2 not have delivered me the title.</p> <p>3 Q. Right.</p> <p>4 A. That's the difference.</p> <p>5 Q. But they still walked away with the cash. In 6 my scenario, you could have -- that could happen?</p> <p>7 A. Yeah.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Do you -- could you -- you were saying 9 that you thought a lot of -- are you saying that you 10 think a lot of the trades on these 13Ds are trades -- 11 let's say, the buys in 13Ds, that you didn't actually 12 receive the stock for those buys?</p> <p>13 A. The clearing firm did not receive the stock 14 as evidenced in files --</p> <p>15 Q. And so how do you know -- or do you know 16 which trades were trades that actually weren't from 17 short sellers, and which trades were; is there a way 18 that you can tell?</p> <p>19 A. Well, that would be in the discovery that you 20 guys didn't provide, just to actually give an actual 21 number.</p> <p>22 Q. What discovery are you talking about?</p> <p>23 A. The records from the exchanges that would 24 potentially --</p>	<p>1 A. Well, now, you can rely on DTC. Then it was 2 just from -- just from instinct, I guess, at that point.</p> <p>3 MR. FORD: From an assumption based on the 4 facts that you had?</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: Exactly.</p> <p>6 Q. So as you're trading, you had no -- you -- 7 these stocks, did you know, in your mind, you were 8 thinking, Oh, I'm buying all these shares from short 9 sellers?</p> <p>10 A. No. I didn't know who was selling the 11 shares. It was so many shares being sold. So I didn't 12 -- I didn't know that it was short sellers until after 13 really, you know, the company either came out and said 14 that they're not selling, or that either the stock price 15 would keep going up. There was other assumptions I was 16 making on a day-to-day basis to try and figure out what 17 is happening. Is there an insider selling, or isn't 18 there an insider selling?</p> <p>19 Q. Did you have a situation where, like, you 20 bought these shares, and then you -- then you saw in 21 your -- that your account didn't have the number of 22 shares that you thought should be in there?</p> <p>23 A. No.</p> <p>24 MR. FORD: I'm sorry. Just -- do you mean</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.

GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 117	Page 119
<p>1 with, like -- do you want to just tie it to a 2 specific. 3 Q. Like? 4 MR. FORD: Like one of the stocks or one of 5 the -- 6 Q. Yeah. Sure. Like you're saying, let's just 7 take Avalon for example. You're saying -- you're buying 8 Avalon shares, but there's all short sales and then you 9 -- right. So that you, at some point, either during 10 this trading period or after, you were like, I couldn't 11 possibly have bought all these shares; is that what 12 you're saying? 13 A. From a long seller. 14 Q. Okay. So then did you have then -- so that 15 would be confirmed by then seeing, according to you, 16 that, Oh, there's not -- I thought I bought a hundred 17 shares, but I only see 25 in my account; is that right? 18 A. No. That's not what I'm saying. 19 Q. So how would you -- how would this 20 short-seller issue affect you? Like how would you 21 expect it to be reflected in your records? 22 A. Well, it's not necessarily something that 23 would show up in my records. It's just something that 24 was showing up in the way the stock was behaving. That</p>	<p>1 he couldn't hold his short anymore. But if it was a 2 real seller, the stock would not have went up. 3 Q. Okay. 4 A. It would have just stayed down, and I would 5 have been able to buy more. 6 Q. So I think you mentioned, like, some of the 7 customers were also selling short, or could have been 8 selling short. 9 A. If it was, it was very small amount. It 10 wouldn't have mattered. 11 Q. Okay. 12 A. Insignificant amount, 10- or 20,000. I don't 13 remember. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. But it wouldn't have been a significant 16 amount. They're small accounts with \$500 in their 17 account. They're not going to be able to -- 18 Q. If -- so if the customers were selling short, 19 would you have known about that? 20 A. I mean, if I was specifically looking to see 21 what customers were doing, which I don't -- I never 22 really did on a daily basis. I wasn't doing that part 23 of the business. 24 Q. But in order for them to sell short, wouldn't</p>
Page 118	Page 120
<p>1 it wasn't a long seller; it was a short seller. 2 Q. Okay. And what if -- so would that make a 3 difference to you in how you would be trading, like, in 4 any way if you knew that it was a short seller versus a 5 long seller? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Okay. Why or how? 8 A. Well, because if it's a short seller, that 9 person has to buy those shares back, and it changes the 10 way the stock is going to trade. Making it difficult to 11 buy more at lower prices, because that short seller's 12 now also trying to buy back shares. And now, I'm 13 fighting with someone to buy shares versus if it was an 14 insider selling, you know, which I thought it was 15 initially, because the shares weren't going up that much 16 but -- 17 Q. This is the Avalon, you're talking about? 18 A. Either one. It was the same situation. 19 Q. Okay. 20 A. If it was an insider selling, then I would 21 have been able to buy more at lower prices. But because 22 it was a short seller, once a short, you know, exhausted 23 how much he can short, then you'd notice that the stock 24 skyrocketed, because he had to buy back shares, because</p>	<p>1 you have to give them the shares to borrow? 2 A. It would -- like I said, it's the same way it 3 worked before as we said. It's an automated system. Is 4 there a customer to offset? Do we have inventory? What 5 is it, you know? They might have been selling short 6 against inventory. They might have been selling short 7 against the street or -- I don't know exactly. But -- 8 Q. What about other customers; is that possible? 9 A. Yes. That -- that stock from either one, 10 from my recollection, were available for shorting. 11 Maybe just like the one or two days. And then after 12 that, the clearing firms restricted shorting on them, 13 because everyone was naked short selling. Not my 14 customers, but other firms were naked short selling, and 15 the clearing firms restricted the short selling of it, 16 because that was happening. 17 Q. During -- 18 A. Which also, you know, inflates the price, as 19 well, because now shorts can't short more, because their 20 clearing firms are stopping them basically after, 21 because they probably shorted too much. And, now, 22 they're failing to deliver so they stop shorting, stop 23 allowing shorting. And then what happens is those 24 shorts have to buy it back, which is what -- that's how</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 121	Page 123
<p>1 I knew they were short sellers that sold shares and not 2 an insider or a long seller. 3 Q. Because they're being bought back? 4 A. They're being bought back, and it wasn't me. 5 Q. Okay. Why is the fact that shares are being 6 bought mean short selling versus -- why -- why is there 7 only two options? Like why couldn't it just be a 8 regular market activity, people buying and selling? 9 A. Well, it just didn't -- that doesn't make any 10 sense. 11 Q. Okay. So back to what I was asking before: 12 Are customers able to lend shares to each other for 13 shorting purposes? 14 A. I mean, they're not talking -- customers are 15 not talking to each other; it's an automated system. 16 It's based on where the clearing firm had that share -- 17 those shares available for shorting. If they did, then 18 we would allow the shorting, as well. And if they 19 didn't, then we wouldn't allow shorting. 20 Q. Do customers have to get permissions if they 21 want to allow the shares to be lent out? 22 A. That's something that they do when they open 23 their account. 24 Q. Okay.</p>	<p>1 to someone else. They never had it. 2 Q. Okay. But technically, you did give 3 permission to borrow -- to lend out your shares or not? 4 A. I -- 5 Q. Did you check the box saying, Yes, my shares 6 can be lent out? 7 A. No, I set this account so that shares would 8 not be lent out. 9 Q. Okay. 10 A. For any positions, not just for these. For 11 any positions, we're not lending out shares, and we 12 were cash position. 13 Q. So I have, like, your account opening 14 documents from Interactive. Somewhere in there, I'm 15 going to see that you checked a box saying, No, I don't 16 want these shares to be lent out; is that what you're 17 saying? 18 A. I don't know that you're going to see that in 19 an account opening document, you know. That's something 20 that was on their online platform on whether you -- I 21 don't remember exactly what it was called, but it was 22 something that you can change in real-time. So I don't 23 think that would be reflected in an account opening 24 document. Like I said, we had a margin account. The</p>
<p>1 A. Pretty much, all firms industry-wide where -- 2 or that's -- if you're in a cash account, then you could 3 ask for your broker not to lend your shares. But if 4 you're in a margin account, the broker owns your shares, 5 and they can lend it out. 6 Q. Okay. So did you have a margin account with 7 Interactive? 8 A. I did have a margin account with Interactive, 9 but I wasn't barring money from them, and I specifically 10 didn't want these shares lent to. 11 Q. But did you give them permission to do that? 12 Like you were saying, most people in there with 13 accounts, they -- 14 A. No. This account was set, as far as I 15 remember, to not lend out these shares. 16 Q. Both the long and the short? 17 A. Well, it would only be the long; right? 18 Q. Okay. 19 A. If you're short already, you can't lend the 20 short. 21 Q. Uh-huh. 22 A. You can only lend the long. And I couldn't 23 lend it anyway, because they never settled. The 24 clearing firm never had it; so how is IB going to lend</p>	<p>1 account was set by default to -- you know, if you have a 2 margin account, to lend -- that allows them to 3 hypothecate your stock. However, you know, I had set 4 this account up, not from the very beginning, but later 5 on, to not lend out shares. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. At least, that's what I remember doing. I 8 remember doing that. 9 Q. Okay. 10 THE WITNESS: Can I use the restroom real 11 quick. 12 (Recess taken.) 13 MS. TAUBER: I'm going to mark Exhibit 10. 14 15 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, 16 DEFENDANTS' RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND SET OF 17 INTERROGATORIES, was marked for 18 identification.) 19 20 Q. Have you seen this document before? 21 A. I mean, I remember discussing it. 22 Q. Okay. So the -- Exhibit 10, for the record, 23 is defendants' responses to plaintiff's second set of 24 interrogatories. So I'd like to go through these</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.

GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 125	Page 127
<p>1 questions with you, and see if you have any answers to 2 them, because I think that, you know, we don't -- I 3 don't know if -- the objections are on procedural 4 grounds, I think, mostly. So I wanted to get to the 5 substance.</p> <p>6 So the first interrogatory, Interrogatory 7 13, because the first one on this document talks about 8 what we were just discussing about; which trades failed 9 to clear, and the naked short selling and all of this. 10 And it asks whether you're able to go through the 13D 11 that you filed and identify any trades that you think 12 failed to clear, or that were naked short or buys from 13 naked short sellers. Are you able to, you know, the 14 13D or not, say that were there any specific trades 15 that failed to clear?</p> <p>16 MR. FORD: Let me just stop. What we've said 17 in our -- if I understand these responses. It -- 18 the issue with both, I think, the question here 19 and what you just posed to him, is you're asking 20 for him to go through information that is just -- 21 that is, only exists in the documents, and that he 22 does not have. So the answers to all of these are 23 in their discovery records that are still being 24 exchanged. So he can't answer -- he can't answer</p>	<p>1 mind, whether any trade on any given day cleared 2 or not.</p> <p>3 MR. LOPEZ: All right. May I make a 4 suggestion.</p> <p>5 MS. McLAUGHLIN: Please.</p> <p>6 MR. LOPEZ: If he's capable -- Mr. Gentile is 7 capable of getting a trade history, why can he not 8 look at that trade history, and see which sales 9 did not result in a credit to his account.</p> <p>10 MR. FORD: It's a different question. It's a 11 completely different question.</p> <p>12 MR. LOPEZ: How so.</p> <p>13 MR. FORD: Well, the first question was about 14 trades clearing or failing to trade.</p> <p>15 MS. TAUBER: Okay.</p> <p>16 MR. FORD: And you just asked a question 17 about a credit to his account.</p> <p>18 MR. LOPEZ: If it's been cleared, did he, by 19 looking at his trade history, determine, Hey, I 20 sold these shares three days ago, and there's no 21 cash credit here.</p> <p>22 MR. FORD: I really don't understand.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: That's not the question that's 24 on here. This is asking -- you're asking me to</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 126</p> <p>1 which trade did not clear or not clear, because he 2 doesn't have that information in his mind.</p> <p>3 MS. TAUBER: Okay.</p> <p>4 MR. FORD: That information is in the 5 documents, which we don't have all of it yet.</p> <p>6 MS. TAUBER: You're talking about the 7 documents that we have -- that you think we have 8 that we're going to give to you in a --</p> <p>9 MR. FORD: It is. The answer to this 10 question of which trades clear and don't clear is 11 the whole point of all the discovery we're taking, 12 and it's not in his possession in his mind.</p> <p>13 MS. TAUBER: Right.</p> <p>14 MR. FORD: You can't answer -- it's not a 15 fair question to ask him. He can't answer that.</p> <p>16 MS. TAUBER: Well, what --</p> <p>17 MR. FORD: It's in the records.</p> <p>18 MS. TAUBER: What -- I'm trying to understand 19 what documents you think exist in the world that 20 would have that information.</p> <p>21 MS. McLAUGHLIN: I mean, that's for purpose 22 of the discovery for us all to go out and --get 23 the records that they had. Because Mr. Gentile 24 does not have, to my colleague's point, in his</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 128</p> <p>1 tell you which trades settled, and which ones 2 didn't settle.</p> <p>3 MR. LOPEZ: Yeah, by looking at your cash 4 proceeds.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: And that information is -- 6 well, it doesn't say that part here. That 7 information's going to be in the DTC sheets and 8 the exchanges and the clearing firms, not 9 something that I would have.</p> <p>10 MR. LOPEZ: And your trade history.</p> <p>11 MR. FORD: You have his trade history. You 12 have his trade history.</p> <p>13 MR. LOPEZ: Don't we have -- doesn't -- 14 didn't your trading produce, on a daily basis, a 15 record of what you sold and, three days later at 16 settlement, a record of what was credited from 17 those sales.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: You had the buys and the sells.</p> <p>19 MR. LOPEZ: Money, money, money, follow the 20 money.</p> <p>21 MR. FORD: Hold it. Wait. That's not a 22 question.</p> <p>23 MR. LOPEZ: But we're not on the record.</p> <p>24 MR. FORD: Of course, we're on the record.</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 129	Page 131
<p>1 Do you want to ask a question?</p> <p>2 MR. LOPEZ: Yes.</p> <p>3 MR. FORD: Okay. Go ahead.</p> <p>4 MR. LOPEZ: Did you, at any time, during the 5 five or six days that you were trading Avalon 6 shares in the short swing trading period become 7 aware of sales by you of shares that did not 8 produce a credit to your account.</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: I didn't look at what my trades 10 -- I don't look at it like that. I didn't log-in 11 to look and see what money was in and out. I 12 wasn't doing that; so I didn't log-in to see if 13 the trade settled or not.</p> <p>14 MR. LOPEZ: Can you not do that now.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: Well, we provided you those 16 records already.</p> <p>17 MR. FORD: Are you suggesting that there are 18 records that we have not produced. So you can 19 direct that to us and we can --</p> <p>20 MR. LOPEZ: I can say prior to the trade 21 history being available on a log-in, and he can 22 pull down your trade history. And that on Day 1, 23 you sell. By Day 3, there should be a credit in 24 your account. And if not, what kind of</p>	<p>1 of a document request after the -- after the 2 deposition, because now, we're talking about you 3 asking us for documents, as opposed to you asking 4 Mr. Gentile questions.</p> <p>5 MR. LOPEZ: No. I'm asking Mr. Gentile to 6 refresh his recollection by doing what he has 7 testified he can do, which is look at his trade 8 history.</p> <p>9 MR. FORD: I don't understand. I --</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: I did not generate a report 11 during that period that would show me what cleared 12 and what didn't clear.</p> <p>13 MR. LOPEZ: Can you not now go into history 14 and generate it now.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: But we provided you that 16 already. That's what we provided you.</p> <p>17 MR. FORD: We're getting completely crossed. 18 If you're asking for a document, you should put 19 that to us after the deposition.</p> <p>20 MR. LOPEZ: No, I'm asking for testimony.</p> <p>21 MR. FORD: Okay. And he just took it; so he 22 just answered.</p> <p>23 MR. LOPEZ: Which is.</p> <p>24 MR. FORD: Why don't you -- if you could read</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 130</p> <p>1 businessman are you? You're selling for nothing.</p> <p>2 MR. FORD: David, I'm not following you, at 3 all. You have the trade history. You have all 4 the trades. Is there a document that you don't 5 have?</p> <p>6 MR. LOPEZ: I presume --</p> <p>7 MR. FORD: -- that you're asking for.</p> <p>8 MR. LOPEZ: Yes. I presume that the online 9 trade history will include the daily cash balances 10 and daily credits.</p> <p>11 MR. FORD: So you're asking for the account's 12 daily -- by the way, isn't that the document that 13 we were just looking at earlier.</p> <p>14 MS. TAUBER: We have the Interactive 15 statement; is that what you're talking about.</p> <p>16 MR. FORD: So you -- and you're asking for 17 something different than the Interactive 18 statement.</p> <p>19 MS. TAUBER: So that's a year-long statement. 20 It's not like through all the period necessarily.</p> <p>21 MR. FORD: Okay. So are you --</p> <p>22 MS. TAUBER: We're saying more granular for 23 the relevant trading period.</p> <p>24 MR. FORD: Why don't you put this in the form</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 132</p> <p>1 back the record. If you could read back his 2 answer.</p> <p>3 (Record read.)</p> <p>4 MR. LOPEZ: Can you not go back now, and 5 generate a report historically, that will show 6 what cleared, and what did not clear by looking on 7 whether you got paid for it.</p> <p>8 MR. FORD: No. Time out. The question of 9 what cleared and what did not clear is at the 10 heart of this dispute, and that's what all of 11 these documents that we're trying to collect --</p> <p>12 MR. LOPEZ: Except the shortcut document.</p> <p>13 MS. TAUBER: Do you not have that accessible 14 to you, something that shows what cleared and what 15 did not clear? That's the question. Do you have 16 any kind of record --</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: We don't know whether the 18 clearing firm --</p> <p>19 MR. FORD: It's not in our possession.</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: -- cleared it or not -- was 21 able to clear it or not. All we see is the buys 22 and the sells. I don't know if it says whether it 23 cleared or not.</p> <p>24 Q. So you have no way of determining, in real</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.

GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 133	Page 135
<p>1 time or three days later, whether any of your trades 2 cleared or didn't clear?</p> <p>3 MR. FORD: From his account.</p> <p>4 Q. From your own log-ins, accessible, like 5 things that you can access online using a log-in and a 6 password?</p> <p>7 A. I'm not aware of, specifically, a report that 8 tells me, specifically, what the clearing firm settled 9 or did not settle. I just know that I'm able to log-in 10 and provide the data that I did provide you, which is 11 the trade history; which is what you asked for. I don't 12 know if the clearing firm was able to receive delivery 13 of those shares or not. There's no record that I would 14 have that would tell me that. I don't believe I have 15 access to that information.</p> <p>16 MR. LOPEZ: You have no record of being paid 17 or not paid?</p> <p>18 MR. FORD: It's a different.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: It's a different question.</p> <p>20 MR. FORD: It's a different question.</p> <p>21 MR. LOPEZ: I'm phrasing it differently.</p> <p>22 MR. FORD: I'm sorry. Could you rephrase the 23 question and, specifically, what you're referring 24 to?</p>	<p>1 have -- it's not called rejected. They could have 2 -- they could have break -- they could have -- 3 what's called "break the trade." Every single one 4 of those trades could have been broken.</p> <p>5 MR. LOPEZ: Right. But that's speculation.</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: But they weren't. They could 7 have been broken. So I don't know if the clearing 8 firm received delivery, or the clearing firm just 9 said here -- here's the trades done on your side, 10 and they're going to handle it on your side and 11 try and receive delivery some other way. But I 12 have no access to that information.</p> <p>13 MR. LOPEZ: Do you have an accountant, or 14 does MintBroker have an accountant.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: It had an accountant.</p> <p>16 MR. LOPEZ: And who is he; can you identify 17 him.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: Antonio Collie.</p> <p>19 MR. LOPEZ: Do you have an address for him.</p> <p>20 THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>21 MR. LOPEZ: Do you have any way of 22 communicating with him.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>24 MR. LOPEZ: Would you ask him to provide us</p>
Page 134	Page 136
<p>1 MR. LOPEZ: Would you mind reading it back. 2 (Record read.)</p> <p>3 MR. FORD: I don't know what that means. If 4 you know what that means, you can answer.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: Being paid by who.</p> <p>6 MR. LOPEZ: Having your -- or the MintBroker 7 account credited for sales three days earlier.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: So you want to know -- I'm 9 going to rephrase your question. You want to know 10 if Interactive Brokers -- when I placed a sell 11 order.</p> <p>12 MR. LOPEZ: Yeah.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: And did they clear -- not 14 clear, because that's what we're talking about. 15 Did they put the sale in my account? Yes, they 16 put the sale in my account.</p> <p>17 MR. LOPEZ: And what document would show 18 that.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: The one that I provided.</p> <p>20 MR. LOPEZ: And if there was a sale and no 21 credit to your -- the MintBroker account --</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: What I will say is that before 23 settlement, that the clearing firm could have -- I 24 forget what the right term is, but they could</p>	<p>1 with an address.</p> <p>2 MR. FORD: Why don't you put these questions 3 to us after the deposition.</p> <p>4 MR. LOPEZ: Okay.</p> <p>5 You gave an example of selling or of buying 6 short shares, naked short shares, and then 7 reselling them a few days later for the same price 8 you paid for them. Now, what if, as is the fact, 9 you have many purchases that you sold three days 10 later for higher prices, again, were -- was the 11 broker account credited for that higher price.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: You said buy short, which I 13 don't know how you would buy short.</p> <p>14 MR. LOPEZ: You buy shares that are being 15 sold to you by someone you contend is a naked 16 short seller.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: Okay.</p> <p>18 MR. LOPEZ: And then you sell those shares 19 for a substantially higher amount as though it 20 were a short squeeze.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: Okay.</p> <p>22 MR. LOPEZ: And where does the money go.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: In the short squeeze? In -- 24 or --</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 137	Page 139
<p>1 MR. LOPEZ: In this transaction.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: So you're saying someone were</p> <p>3 to buy.</p> <p>4 MR. LOPEZ: MintBroker were to buy.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: \$5.</p> <p>6 MR. LOPEZ: From a short -- a naked short</p> <p>7 seller, and then three days later, the time</p> <p>8 required by regulation as HSO for a buy-in, you</p> <p>9 sell those shares; would you not notice whether</p> <p>10 you were paid for them.</p> <p>11 MR. FORD: What -- stop. David, that was --</p> <p>12 I cannot make any sense of that question. I</p> <p>13 apologize. I don't like to do this, but do you</p> <p>14 have, sort of, a direct question about actual</p> <p>15 facts without the would or the could or the might</p> <p>16 or hypothecating?</p> <p>17 MR. LOPEZ: 13D.</p> <p>18 MS. TAUBER: This is Plaintiff's Exhibit 2.</p> <p>19 MR. LOPEZ: Which is 13D for which one?</p> <p>20 MS. TAUBER: Avalon.</p> <p>21 MR. LOPEZ: Avalon. On July 24th, you</p> <p>22 purchased MintBroker purchased -- no. I need a</p> <p>23 calculator to get a per-share price. Okay. I'll</p> <p>24 -- I'll give it back to Miriam if you have more</p>	<p>1 MS. TAUBER: Why not? Because he knows the</p> <p>2 profit he made or what profit he would expect to</p> <p>3 make whether or not this -- whether -- if the</p> <p>4 shares totally cleared and there were no issue.</p> <p>5 But -- so --</p> <p>6 MR. FORD: Miriam, let's try again. Go</p> <p>7 ahead. Ask your question.</p> <p>8 BY MS. TAUBER: (Continued.)</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. So you have this record of how many</p> <p>10 shares you bought and sold, and you would know how much</p> <p>11 money you'd expect to make, right, not including</p> <p>12 commissions and all kinds of stuff like this, like, how</p> <p>13 many -- like, you know, you bought at 10; you sold at</p> <p>14 20. You expect to make 10; right?</p> <p>15 A. Yeah. I mean, that's generally -- general</p> <p>16 accounting.</p> <p>17 Q. So did you notice any issue that -- with</p> <p>18 these trades that are reported on the 13Ds on the --</p> <p>19 Exhibits 1 and 2; did you notice any shortfall in money</p> <p>20 that you expected to appear in your account?</p> <p>21 A. I didn't look for any shortfalls; so I wasn't</p> <p>22 specifically -- I didn't log-in to IB, and see if they</p> <p>23 shorted me money or not. So I don't know if they did.</p> <p>24 I don't know if any trades were broken, because I didn't</p>
<p>1 questions.</p> <p>2 MS. TAUBER: Okay. I think the question is</p> <p>3 just like: Is it possible that you would sell</p> <p>4 shares that you had bought from a short seller and</p> <p>5 still get paid for those shares.</p> <p>6 MR. FORD: I don't -- I don't -- the</p> <p>7 possible --</p> <p>8 MS. TAUBER: Meaning you could --</p> <p>9 MR. FORD: Do you have a question about the</p> <p>10 facts at issue in this case, and he'll answer it.</p> <p>11 MS. TAUBER: I'm trying to differentiate</p> <p>12 between money you get in your account from selling</p> <p>13 shares, and the notion that the shares were not in</p> <p>14 your account. Like we're trying to see if those</p> <p>15 things are related to each other. If they're</p> <p>16 necessarily related to each other, or if that is</p> <p>17 -- or if they're completely unrelated. Like, in</p> <p>18 other words, like, does it matter -- I guess, to</p> <p>19 the ultimate point, does it matter to the profits</p> <p>20 that he made if the shares were or were not in his</p> <p>21 account, which is the contention of the defense;</p> <p>22 right? So --</p> <p>23 MR. FORD: Yes. That's not a question for</p> <p>24 him to answer though.</p>	<p>1 examine the records to that level to see if they paid me</p> <p>2 for every sale, if there was trade breaks that could</p> <p>3 happen. There's trade -- you know, when you're running</p> <p>4 a firm there's trade breaks on a daily basis, basically.</p> <p>5 Q. So "trade breaks," are you referring to a</p> <p>6 situation where they would, like, only partially fill an</p> <p>7 order; is that what you mean?</p> <p>8 A. No. When the counterparty completely cancels</p> <p>9 the trade as if it never happened.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay.</p> <p>11 A. That's a trade break.</p> <p>12 Q. And you would expect that just to be -- like,</p> <p>13 to not show up in your account, at all?</p> <p>14 A. Yeah. I mean, it could show up there one</p> <p>15 day, and the next day, be gone, because there was a</p> <p>16 trade break. If it was a bad price or -- there's many</p> <p>17 things that could have happened. It's not something</p> <p>18 that I manage on a day-to-day basis. That was -- the</p> <p>19 trading desk would review trade breaks and reconcile</p> <p>20 that information; so I was not looking at that on a</p> <p>21 daily basis.</p> <p>22 Q. And when you pull out -- when you pull up</p> <p>23 these records like these, like Plaintiff's Exhibit 4,</p> <p>24 would these include, like, broken trades like that?</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 141	Page 143
<p>1 A. I believe that it should.</p> <p>2 Q. It would. Okay.</p> <p>3 A. It should. I mean, actually, I don't know.</p> <p>4 I'll say it again: It might just include the trades</p> <p>5 that did not break.</p> <p>6 Q. Uh-huh.</p> <p>7 A. So a trade that's broken probably would not</p> <p>8 show up there. But again, I don't know how Interactive</p> <p>9 Brokers compiles their records, but I'm going to go</p> <p>10 under the assumption that the trades that Interactive</p> <p>11 Brokers provided are trades that did not break.</p> <p>12 MR. FORD: But you don't know that for a fact?</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: I don't know that for a fact.</p> <p>14 MS. TAUBER: Okay.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. So Interactive would know that information then?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Presumably. Okay.</p> <p>18 A. I just want to go back to a question you</p> <p>19 asked earlier.</p> <p>20 Q. Sure.</p> <p>21 A. That I just remembered. You asked if I had</p> <p>22 any businesses with Nick.</p>	<p>1 A. To G. Gentile at Swiss Americas dot com.</p> <p>2 It's one of the company's email addresses, yeah, I had access to this one.</p> <p>3 Q. So you would have received this email?</p> <p>4 A. I did see this email when it came in through</p> <p>5 discovery. Adam showed it to me. I didn't remember it.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay.</p> <p>7 A. But I did recently see it just the other day</p> <p>8 again.</p> <p>9 Q. But this account is an account that you checked and monitored, G. Gentile at Swiss Americas dot com?</p> <p>10 A. I mean, mostly Guy at Suretrader dot com was my main email.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay.</p> <p>12 A. This was the old name; so it wasn't something</p> <p>13 that I was checking. I think compliance had access to</p> <p>14 check it or was receiving those emails.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. This was the old name, right. I see on the bottom. Okay. So did you -- do you remember responding to this email? I assume --</p> <p>16 A. Uhm, I think that I remember compliance</p> <p>17 mentioning it in drafting a response. I don't remember</p> <p>18 if I sent it back to them, or if the compliance officer</p>
<p>1 Q. Okay.</p> <p>2 A. And I recently became -- not myself, but one</p> <p>3 of my entities became a member of one of his LLCs.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay.</p> <p>5 A. You asked if we did business together; so I</p> <p>6 just wanted to clear the record.</p> <p>7 Q. What entity is that?</p> <p>8 A. It's an entity unrelated to any of us.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. So in terms of MintBroker, the entity,</p> <p>10 do you own 100 percent of that entity?</p> <p>11 A. MintBroker International Bahamas.</p> <p>12 Q. Uh-hum, LTD?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay.</p> <p>15 (Plaintiff's <u>Exhibit 11</u>,</p> <p>16 EMAIL FROM IB SURVEILLANCE TO G. GENTILE, was</p> <p>17 marked for identification.)</p> <p>18 Q. This is <u>Exhibit 11</u>.</p> <p>19 MS. TAUBER: I have a copy if you want a</p> <p>20 copy.</p> <p>21 Q. Do you recall -- <u>Exhibit 11</u> is an email from</p> <p>22 IB surveillance to you; correct?</p>	<p>1 sent it back to them. I don't remember.</p> <p>2 Q. So I haven't seen a response to this email if you sent one. Do you recall what the response said?</p> <p>3 A. Like I said, Compliance received it.</p> <p>4 Compliance would have -- this is something that</p> <p>5 compliance would have responded to. I don't remember,</p> <p>6 because it's a while ago, if they sent it to me to send,</p> <p>7 or if they just send the response. But I don't remember</p> <p>8 if -- even if there was a response, or if there was just</p> <p>9 a phone call. Maybe compliance just called them, and</p> <p>10 they spoke. If you wish to discuss the inquiry, please</p> <p>11 contact blah-blah-blah. It could have been just the --</p> <p>12 they could have just had a conversation.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay.</p> <p>14 A. But I do remember -- after Adam showed this</p> <p>15 to me the other day, I do remember compliance asking me</p> <p>16 about it, and then preparing something with the firm's</p> <p>17 -- of attorneys prepared it.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. And do you recall whether they were satisfied with your response? Did they have any --</p> <p>19 A. I --</p> <p>20 Q. -- follow-up happened, if anything?</p> <p>21 A. I don't recall any follow-up.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Do you recall like -- do you recall</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 145	Page 147
<p>1 the answers to these questions? Like, let's discuss the 2 questions I had one by one, and tell me what you said. 3 A. Well, this was when they came in from what I 4 can remember, the firm's attorney drafted the response. 5 So therefore, you know, I just -- I didn't do it myself. 6 Q. Who was that attorney? 7 A. The firm was using two attorneys at that 8 time. One of them was Ogele something. 9 Q. Okay. I think I have that. 10 A. And the other one, I don't remember. 11 Q. Was it Adam Ford; no? 12 A. No. 13 MR. FORD: He remembers me. 14 MS. TAUBER: So I think I have the Ogele; so 15 this is Exhibit 12. This is actually one 16 document. 17 18 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 12, 19 OGELE LETTER TO G. GENTILE DATED AUGUST 15, 2018, 20 was marked for identification.) 21 22 MR. FORD: Miriam, where is this from? 23 MS. TAUBER: It's an Interactive production. 24 MR. FORD: From us.</p>	<p>1 2 MS. TAUBER: Back on the record. 3 Q. Okay. So this -- this is in response, I 4 believe, to the question about the potential takeover of 5 Avalon that you had announced; right? 6 A. This -- this, I believe, was the response to 7 Interactive Brokers, because it was five days after 8 Interactive Brokers sent the request. 9 Q. So this, if you look at the Interactive 10 email, one of the things it says is it appears that you 11 indicated that you acquired a large position in AWS, a 12 part of an attempt to take over. Please provide details 13 about this proposed takeover, and then the letter 14 address -- sort of addresses that; right? 15 A. I believe so. 16 Q. So my question was: Are there any other 17 records you have relating to the proposed takeover? 18 Like any other documents that reflect your preparations 19 for the takeover, financing that you would have 20 obtained, or any other kind of steps you would have 21 taken. 22 A. That would have been just discussions with 23 the attorney. 24 Q. With this Ogele?</p>
Page 146	Page 148
<p>1 MS. TAUBER: Yeah. 2 A. So that could have been the reply, by the 3 way. 4 Q. That's what I was going to ask you. Is this 5 the reply to this? 6 A. Yeah. I didn't read it, but I'm thinking 7 that this is the reply. 8 MR. FORD: This says -- there's a big DR on 9 here. 10 MS. TAUBER: I know. That's weird that they 11 had it though. 12 THE WITNESS: Maybe draft. 13 MR. FORD: That's what I'm trying to -- this 14 was in the Interactive. 15 MS. McLAUGHLIN: What's the Bates number. 16 MS. TAUBER: It doesn't -- I don't know. It 17 didn't have a Bates number. So it didn't have -- 18 I can direct you to the folder. 19 MS. McLAUGHLIN: Can you direct me to it, 20 please. 21 MS. TAUBER: Right now. 22 MS. McLAUGHLIN: Yeah. 23 24 (An off-the-record discussion was held.)</p>	<p>1 A. Right. 2 Q. Okay. 3 A. And later, a different attorney, as well, but 4 I don't remember the name right now. 5 Q. Okay. Did you get any financing or try to 6 get any financing in place for a proposed takeover? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Okay. What type of due diligence did you do, 9 besides meeting with the attorney in terms of 10 researching Avalon? 11 A. I went through all of their SEC filings to 12 the best of my ability. 13 Q. Okay. Any other thing I haven't asked about 14 that you did in connection with that? 15 A. Well, it was just standard research. 16 Q. Okay. Have you ever tried to take over a 17 company before? 18 A. Well, there was GBR before this; right? 19 Q. Okay. So you were trying to take over GBR, 20 as well? 21 A. Yeah. I mean, not to -- for the same 22 purpose, not for the same purpose as this one. 23 Q. What was the purpose of this one, of Avalon? 24 A. Well, with Avalon, I noticed that they had</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 149	Page 151
<p>1 significant revenue, and that it just appeared that the 2 insiders were just milking the company for whatever 3 profits it had. And I thought maybe if I can take it 4 over, that 50 million might be able to turn into 5- or 5 \$10 million worth of profits versus what these guys are 6 doing, which is just billing it out in salaries and who 7 knows what else.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. And what about GBR was the purpose of 9 that?</p> <p>10 A. GBR -- look. That's, again, something I had 11 discussed with my attorney. So I think my discussions 12 with him as far as why that was a potential company is 13 privileged, because it was something that I only 14 discussed with my attorney.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. You didn't announce that publicly; 16 that you were trying to take it over?</p> <p>17 A. (Indicating no.)</p> <p>18 MS. TAUBER: Okay. I want to do another 19 document, mark Exhibit 12. This one. 13.</p> <p>20 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 13, 21 SURVEILLANCE INTERACTIVE TO G. GENTILE & G. NOVAK, 22 was marked for identification.)</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p>	<p>1 they are closing. They're terminating their customer 2 relationship with you and advising you to close the 3 accounts. Do you see that?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Did they tell you why they were closing your 6 account?</p> <p>7 A. Says it right on here.</p> <p>8 Q. Where does it say that?</p> <p>9 A. Based on the information that's come to the 10 attention of the compliance.</p> <p>11 Q. Right, but -- I mean, what did they tell you 12 what the information was?</p> <p>13 A. They did not tell me.</p> <p>14 Q. Did you ask them?</p> <p>15 A. I may have asked them, and I don't believe 16 they gave me an answer.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. Do you remember who you discussed that 18 with?</p> <p>19 A. I don't remember if it was an email, or if I 20 called and spoke to them. I don't -- I don't -- I don't 21 remember. It was one of those two. It was either I 22 emailed them, or asked them what's going on, or I think 23 -- or I could have called them and asked. And I believe 24 the response was by email or phone is that their</p>
Page 150	Page 152
<p>1 Q. So Exhibit 13 is another email from 2 SurveillanceInteractiveBrokers.com to you and G. Novak. 3 Do you know who G. Novak is, by the way?</p> <p>4 A. What date is this?</p> <p>5 Q. This is October 31st, 2019.</p> <p>6 A. I don't know, specifically, who G. Novak is. 7 There's no name. There should be a name.</p> <p>8 Q. Right. Did you have, like, an account 9 manager, or somebody that you communicated with at 10 Interactive?</p> <p>11 A. When I first opened the account, I did, but 12 he had retired like two years ago.</p> <p>13 Q. Who was that?</p> <p>14 A. I don't remember his name, but he had 15 retired, and I don't know exactly what G. Novak's 16 position is there.</p> <p>17 Q. G. Novak is, I think, Greg Novak; is that 18 consistent with what you recall?</p> <p>19 A. Right. I think that Greg was the original 20 person that opened the account.</p> <p>21 Q. Right.</p> <p>22 A. But I don't remember seeing him -- I believe 23 he's retired.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. So this is an account that says that</p>	<p>1 decision was final, and that they weren't providing any 2 more information.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Do you know if it had to do with their 4 inquiry about the Avalon and GBR Trading that we just 5 looked at?</p> <p>6 A. I don't think it had anything to do with 7 that.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Why not?</p> <p>9 A. Well, that was a year and a half prior.</p> <p>10 Q. Took them a long time? Is there any other 11 thing that you think it had to do with besides that, or 12 any other inquiries you received that was for the 13 blacklist loan that may -- that, I mean --</p> <p>14 A. I don't believe it's related to GBR or 15 Avalon.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. But you -- that's your belief, or do 17 you have any reason to think it's unrelated?</p> <p>18 MR. FORD: Well, they didn't tell you the 19 reason.</p> <p>20 A. They didn't tell me the reason. I don't 21 know.</p> <p>22 Q. Right. Okay. And then this email that's 23 directing you to liquidate your positions and transfer 24 funds.</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 153	Page 155
<p>1 A. Does this coincide with the time that you 2 guys sent them a document request? 3 Q. That we sent them a document request? 4 A. Yeah. 5 Q. Hold on. Did you request that this account 6 be closed? 7 A. No. I'm asking you. Well, I don't get to 8 ask questions but -- 9 Q. I like that. 10 A. If -- if -- if it was -- 11 Q. Well, that had occurred to me. So -- 12 A. It was closed during that time, because if 13 that's the case, then you guys caused my account to be 14 closed. 15 Q. Uh-huh. But you think -- so you're 16 suggesting they closed the account for that -- in 17 response to document requests? 18 A. I'm not suggesting anything. 19 MR. FORD: We don't know why that -- 20 A. Just conclusions, but I don't think it had 21 anything to do with it. 22 Q. Okay. 23 A. Could just be their business appetites 24 changing. It's just changing, a lot of things changing.</p>	<p>1 use a magnifying glass? It's a communication with you, 2 and it looks like tech support or customer service 3 people at Interactive about the closing of your account. 4 A. Okay. 5 Q. Is that right? 6 A. Well, I mean, that's what I'm seeing here. 7 This is -- this is what you just asked -- well, 8 actually, for example, this should not have been 9 provided by Interactive Brokers, because this has 10 nothing to do with MintBroker International, and this 11 should be stricken off the record. 12 Q. Well, so we asked for things that had to do 13 with either -- there's two defendants. There's you 14 also. So -- 15 A. But that's not me. That's a company, a 16 separate company. 17 Q. Well, it's a communication with you. 18 A. It's still unrelated to MintBroker 19 International -- 20 Q. So -- 21 A. -- Funds LLC; it's not a plaintiff. 22 Q. We broadly defined defendants as including 23 affiliates and -- 24 MR. FORD: No, you don't. No, you don't.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 154</p> <p>1 Q. And so -- back -- directing you to the gray 2 positions and withdraw your funds -- so this email is 3 directing you to liquidate your positions and move your 4 funds. 5 Do you recall what bank you moved the funds 6 to? 7 A. Well, that is not relevant. 8 MR. FORD: Yeah. I'm going to -- I would 9 have stopped that. The whole closing of the IB 10 account was, sort of, off the path. But now, 11 we're really -- 12 MS. TAUBER: Well, I assumed it was related, 13 to be honest, because I thought it was related to 14 this inquiry, the inquiry about AWX and GBR. 15 MR. FORD: Oh, I understand. Well, that's 16 fine. That's why I let that go, but now, we're 17 not going into -- 18 MS. TAUBER: Okay. 19 20 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 14, 21 CIM TICKET SEARCH, was marked for 22 identification.) 23 24 Q. So this is -- it's very small. You want to</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 156</p> <p>1 MS. TAUBER: In our document requests. 2 MR. FORD: Well -- but that's -- all right. 3 This is a first -- this was also -- 4 MS. TAUBER: Yeah. 5 MR. FORD: -- Interactive Brokers, which just 6 came in. 7 THE WITNESS: That account did not even 8 exist, because Interactive Brokers, when they -- 9 had been provided. 10 MS. TAUBER: Well, I was going to actually 11 ask about that. It says "Mint Funds," and if that 12 was a separate account and -- 13 MR. FORD: Okay. So we're not -- we'll deal 14 with -- for the record: The IB production came 15 late Friday night, and we had done our best to see 16 as much of it as possible. Obviously, you've done 17 a better job at that. 18 MS. TAUBER: Oh, thank you. 19 MR. FORD: But we're not going to get into 20 stuff that's completely irrelevant and should not 21 have been -- 22 Q. Okay. So this -- to me, I thought this is 23 the same incident as this other closing of this account 24 we just looked at, Document 13, the same date or same --</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 157	Page 159
<p>1 around the same time, November/October 2018. So you're 2 saying it's not related; is that right?</p> <p>3 A. They're not affiliated.</p> <p>4 Q. But meaning this, the closure being discussed 5 in this document, is not the same thing as the closure 6 being discussed in Document 13?</p> <p>7 A. Well, you can look at it yourself.</p> <p>8 Interactive Brokers will not provide a reason as to 9 whether they're related or not.</p> <p>10 Q. Are these two different accounts; is that 11 what you're saying?</p> <p>12 A. They're two separate companies.</p> <p>13 Q. But they don't have the same account? I'm 14 asking -- I mean --</p> <p>15 A. Correct, two separate companies, two separate 16 accounts, unrelated.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. I did think I saw this was -- this 18 says Account Number U2XXX515. And this one --</p> <p>19 A. It's definitely different.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. 365. Okay. So --</p> <p>21 MR. LOPEZ: Which exhibits are we looking at?</p> <p>22 MS. TAUBER: 13 and 14.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. So these relate to two different 24 accounts is what you're talking -- is what --</p>	<p>1 MR. FORD: Fair enough, and it then appeared, 2 and then you asked, and Mr. Gentile explained that 3 it does not.</p> <p>4 MS. TAUBER: Okay.</p> <p>5 Q. So like I said -- asked: Why did MintBroker 6 close down when it closed down?</p> <p>7 A. It was a business decision.</p> <p>8 Q. Did it have to do with Interactive's closing 9 of your account?</p> <p>10 A. It was a business decision.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Based on what?</p> <p>12 A. Well, that was based on a board resolution 13 between the board of directors, and it's not relevant to 14 your case as to why it closed.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. What happened to all of the stock that 16 MintBroker held when it closed?</p> <p>17 MR. FORD: Stop. We're -- it has nothing to 18 do --</p> <p>19 Q. Or like the records, records of stock, 20 records of customers, like all of the records that 21 MintBroker had when it closed, what happened to those 22 records? Like where -- let's just start with customer 23 records. Like, did MintBroker have customers when it 24 closed?</p>
<p>1 A. Two separate accounts, two separate entities.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Okay. The other reason that this 3 document is relevant to me is that this talks about the 4 closing of MintBroker. I understood that at least. Is 5 this not what it's talking about? That you say this 6 puts me -- puts my firm practically out of business, and 7 this was around the time that I understood that 8 MintBroker had closed; so is that what you are referring 9 to in that?</p> <p>10 A. Well, I mean, this is an email to Mint Funds. 11 I could have been talking about -- puts Mint Funds out 12 of business. I don't remember exactly. But like I 13 said, either way, this email should not have been 14 provided to you since --</p> <p>15 Q. That's a matter of debate but --</p> <p>16 A. -- it's not relevant. It's not your 17 plaintiff.</p> <p>18 MR. FORD: Mint Fund had nothing to do with 19 the case that we're talking about. Nothing about 20 it, whether it's opened or closed to -- it had 21 nothing to do with this case.</p> <p>22 MS. TAUBER: Okay. All right. Well, maybe, 23 maybe not, discovery, you know, appears it does 24 have to do with it. So -- but --</p>	<p>1 A. I mean, it didn't have customers any more when it closed. All the customers were --</p> <p>3 Q. But the months before closing?</p> <p>4 A. Yeah, it had. It had it, and the company 5 closed, and whatever records are required to be kept 6 were sent to the firm's attorneys.</p> <p>7 Q. To MintBroker's attorneys?</p> <p>8 A. In the Bahamas.</p> <p>9 Q. Ogele or somebody else?</p> <p>10 A. Someone else.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Did that include customer accounts?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Customer statements, you're talking 14 about, or what other documents?</p> <p>15 A. Well, it's works similar to Interactive 16 Brokers. There's no statement. It's just -- it's just 17 their trade records and history. It's not specifically 18 statement.</p> <p>19 Q. So did you send it to the firm by email or by 20 mail?</p> <p>21 A. Thumb drive, I believe. I didn't do it 22 myself. This was something I was -- I wasn't -- I 23 hadn't been to the Bahamas since October, I believe -- 24 November -- October or November, I haven't been there</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 161	Page 163
<p>1 since then. I wasn't involved in the closing procedures 2 and exactly how everything was done. 3 Q. Okay. But it's your understanding that 4 someone downloaded MintBroker's database or how -- 5 A. Yeah, whatever were required records, I 6 believe that was something that was handled between 7 compliance and IT, and from my understanding, was 8 delivered to the firm's law firm in the Bahamas. 9 Q. Do you have any sense of, like, the volume 10 of -- 11 A. I don't. 12 Q. -- the documents on the thumb drive or in 13 what -- 14 A. I haven't seen the thumb drive. I don't have 15 the thumb drive. I don't have a copy of it. 16 Q. Okay. 17 A. I'm not -- I'm not going to take those 18 documents out of the Bahamas. Bahamas has strict laws 19 on where those records have to be. 20 Q. And what about, like, any hard copies of any 21 documents that were in your office when it closed, like, 22 physically in your office? 23 A. So the most -- I don't know what happened to 24 any hard copies. The offices are closed also, but I</p>	<p>1 Q. Steven something, okay. 2 A. Also Bahamian, someone in the Bahamas. I 3 don't believe he's a U.S. citizen. 4 Q. He's the person that would have been 5 responsible for downloading the documents to the thumb 6 drive? 7 A. I don't know who, in compliance, may do it, 8 but most likely, it would have been him. I'm not the 9 one who ordered him to do it. It would have been 10 something that compliance would have handled. It's a 11 compliance function. 12 Q. The backup that you're talking about, this 13 company, did you know if you had a log-in for that? 14 Like did you log-in and see your backups? 15 A. I never had access to the servers myself. 16 Q. And do you know where the servers are 17 physically located? 18 A. In the Bahamas. It's a requirement by 19 Bahamian law that all the records are kept there. 20 Q. Okay. And so -- but someone in your firm 21 would have had a log-in to access the backup in case 22 those computers crashed or something in the office? 23 A. Well, like I said, I don't believe that there 24 was a backup, because a cloud, in itself, is self-backed</p>
<p>1 know that three or four years ago, the firm went full 2 electronic; so everything should be electronically 3 stored. 4 Q. So everything that ever happened had been on 5 this thumb drive that was sent to the attorneys? 6 A. Yeah. 7 Q. Okay. And, like, is there backup? When 8 MintBroker was in business, what was, like, the system; 9 was there a backup system for documents? 10 A. Well, it was on cloud. So the cloud doesn't 11 require a backup, because the cloud, by itself, is a 12 backup. 13 Q. So what like -- what cloud system did you 14 use? 15 A. It was a cloud in the Bahamas, a local cloud 16 company in the Bahamas. 17 Q. Do you know what the name of the company is? 18 A. This was something that IT set up. I don't 19 remember the name. 20 Q. Okay. Who was your IT manager -- or was your 21 IT manager; who set it up? 22 A. There was two people: One of them resigned, 23 and then there was one person left. I believe his name 24 is Steven. I don't remember his last name.</p>	<p>1 up. I don't know if you know how -- cloud computing is 2 basically 20 computers or 30 computers that work as one. 3 So if one dies, that information is still in one of the 4 others so it doesn't -- I don't know if they have 5 backups or not basically, but my understanding is that 6 they were using a cloud service provider, and that's 7 where the data was kept until they closed. And then 8 they pulled it down, and they delivered it to the 9 attorneys. 10 Q. And that would have included your -- 11 MintBroker's principal account trading history too; 12 right. 13 A. I don't know if it would have included that. 14 That would have been the customers; information. 15 Q. Okay. 16 A. Like I said, the firm's street-side trades 17 are going to be in Interactive Brokers. 18 Q. Okay. And what about, like, DAS Trader 19 independently, did they have any backup, that you know 20 of, of trades that MintBroker would have done? 21 A. I have no idea what DAS would have done. 22 They're not required to maintain records. They're not a 23 licensed firm. They're just providing a front end. So 24 the firms that are required to maintain records are</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 165	Page 167
<p>1 Interactive Brokers and the firm in the Bahamas, which 2 is required to keep those records in the Bahamas, the 3 client records.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. How about emails? Like do you still 5 have access to your MintBroker --</p> <p>6 A. I don't.</p> <p>7 Q. -- Swiss America, your email address?</p> <p>8 A. I don't have access to those anymore.</p> <p>9 Q. Does -- like do they have access to their old 10 emails?</p> <p>11 A. I don't know what they would have access to, 12 because I haven't been there; so I don't even know what 13 happened to the actual individual computers. I don't 14 know if it was a free-for-all or everyone just took it. 15 I have no idea.</p> <p>16 Q. What about a system for, like, customer 17 communications if there were any like, you know, 18 complaints or issues or questions about these stocks or 19 these trades?</p> <p>20 A. Well, that -- that --</p> <p>21 Q. Is there a system for keeping track of that?</p> <p>22 A. That, again, would be part of the same 23 recordkeeping requirements that would have been in the 24 Bahamas.</p>	<p>1 respond, you know, a third-party?</p> <p>2 A. How is that relevant? I want my customers --</p> <p>3 Q. What do you mean? You're saying you have no 4 documents.</p> <p>5 MR. FORD: I was just going to say that, as 6 well.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: Customer communication.</p> <p>8 MR. FORD: We're ten minutes into questions 9 now about customer complaint. This case has 10 nothing to do with customer complaints.</p> <p>11 MS. TAUBER: Hold on. So we -- if you guys 12 were still in business, and you had documents, 13 like, business records, we would request all 14 communications related to these trades, right? 15 Presumably, that would include, if there was 16 customer complaints about this --</p> <p>17 MR. FORD: You --</p> <p>18 MS. TAUBER: -- that would include that; 19 right? You would respond and say, Customer said, 20 like, Hey, why are you trading all the -- whatever 21 it is.</p> <p>22 MR. FORD: You did request those documents.</p> <p>23 MS. TAUBER: Right. You said you didn't have 24 them, because the offices closed.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 166</p> <p>1 Q. Okay.</p> <p>2 A. Email servers, communications, all that stuff 3 would have to be in the Bahamas, per Bahamian law, as 4 far as I understand it. And, you know, the firm doesn't 5 -- doesn't exist anymore; so there's no one paying for 6 maintaining any of these services, so that cloud 7 services no longer in existence, and I believe 8 everything should have been moved down to a -- either a 9 hard drive or a thumb drive. I don't know if it was too 10 big to put on a thumb drive and, maybe, they put it in a 11 bigger hard drive. I don't know. I didn't ask.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. What about when customers would 13 communicate with people issues with their account; is 14 there a different platform they use, like, you know, a 15 chat platform or customer service platform?</p> <p>16 A. I don't know the name of what platform they 17 would use.</p> <p>18 Q. But the email you directly -- that was my 19 question.</p> <p>20 A. Customers do not communicate with me like 21 that.</p> <p>22 Q. Not you, but somebody. Like did they send an 23 email to somebody at MintBroker, or did they use like a 24 platform to, like, enter your question here and then</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 168</p> <p>1 MR. FORD: No. What we said was that they 2 don't -- that there's no responsive documents.</p> <p>3 MS. TAUBER: Within your possession.</p> <p>4 MR. FORD: Custody or control.</p> <p>5 MS. TAUBER: Then we had a debate about what 6 "in your possession" means, and if that means a 7 third-party that you have access to; right.</p> <p>8 MR. FORD: No, no. What -- there -- are you 9 asking for whether a customer complained about 10 Avalon and New Concept's trades in the proprietary 11 account.</p> <p>12 MS. TAUBER: Yeah. I'm asking about that. 13 Maybe the answer is no, but like I'm trying to 14 understand -- I asked you for documents like -- 15 right. You said all communications while he's 16 trading in these securities. Right. Presumably, 17 if a customer would have complained, that would be 18 included; right? I'm not saying a customer 19 complained or not. I'm just wanting to know, 20 like, what you could have searched. But now, 21 you're telling me you can't search, because the 22 office is closed.</p> <p>23 MR. FORD: What -- we're -- we're just -- 24 we're going around in circles on something that</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.

GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 169	Page 171
<p>1 has nothing to do with anything. 2 MS. TAUBER: Well, it does. 3 MR. FORD: Even if a customer complained, 4 that has nothing to do with the question of 5 whether there was a legal short-swing profit on 6 these trades, the only thing at issue. 7 MS. TAUBER: It has to do with the fact that 8 whether or not there's been, like, document 9 retention appropriately or not. Like whether we 10 have documents that you might have access to that 11 we don't have. 12 MR. FORD: They're not -- we don't have 13 access to anything, and they're not -- they would 14 not be relevant. If a customer complained -- 15 MS. TAUBER: Yeah. 16 MR. FORD: -- about proprietary trading in 17 Avalon, which -- 18 MS. TAUBER: Well, because some of these 19 trades were against customers; right? We've 20 established that. Some of MintBroker's 21 proprietary trades were riskless or -- not 22 riskless -- trades against customers. 23 MR. FORD: I don't think that's been 24 established.</p>	<p>1 account, you know. There's other business records 2 that are created in the course of business. 3 MR. FORD: If there are any relevant 4 documents -- and I thought we've been through 5 this. But if there are categories of relevant 6 documents that you believe have been requested and 7 exist or may exist or not and produced, please let 8 us know. I thought we were past that. This is a 9 case about what trades were placed. 10 MS. TAUBER: No. This whole deposition is 11 what I'm concerned is about this. About like why 12 there are no -- why MintBroker had said that there 13 is no documents that they can produce to us, 14 except this one printout; right. 15 MR. FORD: We produced to you all of the 16 relevant documents that they had. These other 17 documents that you're pointing out about different 18 funds or the closing of IB -- the closing of 19 MintBroker, they have nothing to do with this. 20 They wouldn't be relevant. They wouldn't be 21 responsive to requests. 22 MS. TAUBER: The document from IB saying, 23 like, I'm surveilling your account because of this 24 exact trading is not responsive to our request?</p>
<p>1 MS. TAUBER: I think it has. 2 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know anyway. This 3 is something that compliance would have dealt 4 with. It wouldn't even have even called me about 5 that. 6 MS. TAUBER: I mean, I'm not trying to get 7 into the substance of the customer complaints, 8 obviously, like there would be other problems, 9 hearsay, whatever; right. 10 MR. FORD: I guess, maybe that's the issues 11 that were a little too broad. 12 MS. TAUBER: So I'm just trying to 13 understand, like, what -- this is a business that 14 existed that did a lot of trading, and now, that 15 it closed. I get that. But now, there's like, 16 Oh, there's zero documents that they have, except 17 for this, like, printout from Interactive. So I'm 18 trying to understand how that's possible. 19 THE WITNESS: That's where the street-side 20 trades happen, so what other documents would you 21 possibly need. 22 MS. TAUBER: Well, usually, when you're a 23 brokerage firm, you'd have other documents, but 24 there's not just, you know, printout from your</p>	<p>1 How is that possible? I mean, that's directly 2 responsive to our request; right? So but -- that 3 we have it anyway. I'm just trying to understand 4 what else -- 5 MR. FORD: Well, you have -- exactly, you 6 have it. You have all the IB communications. 7 MS. TAUBER: Right. So -- right. So -- but 8 I'm trying to establish what has existed that 9 would also -- may be -- potentially, have been 10 destroyed or exist somewhere else now -- 11 MR. FORD: Nothing has been des -- 12 MS. TAUBER: -- or was moved, on a cloud, in 13 a log-in, in a platform that's a third-party 14 provider. You know, I mean -- 15 MR. FORD: He has been clear that all of the 16 documents that are required to be retained, which 17 are anything that could possibly be relevant were 18 on the cloud, and they're either still in the 19 cloud, or they've been moved to a server into the 20 law firm. That's what he testified to; correct? 21 THE WITNESS: I don't believe anything is on 22 the cloud anymore. 23 MR. FORD: Okay. So they have been moved to 24 the server and delivered to the law firm; is that</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 173	Page 175
<p>1 correct?</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: Moved -- yeah. Moved onto a 3 hard drive and delivered to the law firm.</p> <p>4 MR. LOPEZ: What is the name of the law firm.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: Let me -- let me check for you. 6 King -- King something.</p> <p>7 MR. FORD: And I'm sorry. To answer your 8 question, Miriam, about whether that document is 9 relevant, I would say that it's not. But I 10 understand we disagree on that, the document, 11 meaning the Interactive Brokers.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: Kensington Law.</p> <p>13 MR. LOPEZ: In what town, city.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: Nassau, Bahamas.</p> <p>15 BY MS. TAUBER:</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. I want to go back to the affirmative 17 defense that we were talking about, the clearing 18 defense, for a second, and just read the rest of that 19 sentence. Let me see if I have the marked exhibit in 20 here. It should be one of these. Oh, 9, Plaintiff's 21 Exhibit 9. That says, They failed to clear or related 22 to shares that do not exist, because the trades were 23 naked short sales made by market makers that had not 24 borrowed shares, and had failed to obtain or locate</p>	<p>1 little more leeway than an individual or even an 2 institutional or a corporate account, but they have to 3 get an actual locate. They need to borrow shares where 4 a market maker, if he's making a bona fide market in the 5 stock, then they have a little more leeway.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. So you -- but either way, you're --</p> <p>7 are you suggesting that the market makers in these -- in</p> <p>8 these stocks did something either illegal or --</p> <p>9 A. I'm suggesting that traders --</p> <p>10 Q. -- unadvisable?</p> <p>11 A. -- or short locate systems, because, you</p> <p>12 know, you may not know, there's third-party systems out</p> <p>13 there that allow traders to locate shares. And they're</p> <p>14 currently under scrutiny by the SEC, because they were</p> <p>15 doing what I said earlier. For example, they locate a</p> <p>16 thousand shares, and then they'd locate that same</p> <p>17 thousand shares. They tell 50 traders, yeah, we have</p> <p>18 it. We have it. They give each trader a thousand</p> <p>19 shares. They're all shorting, and guess what, the first</p> <p>20 one was fine, but the other 50 are all naked. And what</p> <p>21 the SEC's recently saying now is that they can only</p> <p>22 short that thousand shares one time. If they buy it</p> <p>23 back, they have to do a second locate. They cannot</p> <p>24 reuse it. And I believe that, number one, they were</p>
<p>1 before the short, as required by SEC regulations.</p> <p>2 Okay. The market makers that you're</p> <p>3 referring to, do you have any market makers, in mind,</p> <p>4 that you are referring to?</p> <p>5 A. I don't know the specific market makers that</p> <p>6 would have done it.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Do you have any -- like, are you -- so</p> <p>8 it sounds to me, and correct me if I'm wrong, but you're</p> <p>9 accusing these market makers of doing something illegal.</p> <p>10 A. Well, I'm not saying that it's just the</p> <p>11 market makers per se. I'm saying just, pretty much,</p> <p>12 anyone who shorted naked, I think that market makers</p> <p>13 should be changed by just other traders, short sales by</p> <p>14 other traders.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay.</p> <p>16 A. Not specifically market makers.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay.</p> <p>18 A. Although market makers, you know, they're</p> <p>19 able to short, you know, naked, you know, but they still</p> <p>20 have to deliver by settlement, but regular traders have</p> <p>21 to do locate. I mean, they -- actually, market makers</p> <p>22 should not be going naked anyway, but they have a little</p> <p>23 more leeway. It's my understanding. I'm not a hundred</p> <p>24 percent on that. But it's my understanding they have a</p>	<p>1 reusing it, and that they were also over-allocating the</p> <p>2 locates that they had.</p> <p>3 Q. So did you attempt to tell anybody, at this</p> <p>4 time, that you thought they were doing this -- like</p> <p>5 market makers or other traders, did you attempt to,</p> <p>6 like, say to anybody, Hey, something's wrong here?</p> <p>7 A. I spoke to my attorney about it.</p> <p>8 Q. At the time?</p> <p>9 A. Uh-hum.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And that would be Kensington or --</p> <p>11 A. It would have been Ogle.</p> <p>12 Q. Ogele. Okay. Do you know if any effort was</p> <p>13 made to inform anybody that you thought this was going</p> <p>14 on?</p> <p>15 A. Inform who?</p> <p>16 Q. SEC or anybody, regulators, market makers,</p> <p>17 anybody like, Hey, there's a concern here that this is</p> <p>18 happening?</p> <p>19 A. I don't recall. I don't recall.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Let's move to the second affirmative</p> <p>21 defense. It says, "Plaintiff's claims are barred in</p> <p>22 whole or part by the doctrine of unclean hands"; do you</p> <p>23 what "unclean hands" means?</p> <p>24 A. No. Explain it to me.</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 177	Page 179
<p>1 MR. FORD: You shouldn't ask questions but, 2 again, it's a legal defense.</p> <p>3 MS. TAUBER: Yeah.</p> <p>4 MR. FORD: You asked if he knew what it 5 meant. He said no. You can ask another question.</p> <p>6 Q. Usually, unclean hands refers to some kind of 7 bad faith on the part of the person being accused of 8 unclean hands. Do you have any reason to think that 9 either of these companies acted in bad faith?</p> <p>10 A. The companies.</p> <p>11 Q. Avalon, my clients, Avalon or New Concept.</p> <p>12 A. Do I have reason to believe that they acted 13 in bad faith for what, for what specifically?</p> <p>14 Q. Well, you tell me. I mean -- so I'm trying 15 to understand the factual basis for this defense which, 16 to me, is suggesting, kind of, bad-faith allegation.</p> <p>17 MR. FORD: This is -- it's an affirmative 18 defense.</p> <p>19 MS. TAUBER: Uh-huh.</p> <p>20 MR. FORD: The information that supports it 21 will be based on the discovery that's currently 22 being taken; so he doesn't have to give a full 23 explanation of what our affirmative defenses are.</p> <p>24</p>	<p>1 Q. Okay. Other than, like, mismanagement 2 claims, is there any other bad faith that you think was 3 directed towards you or your clients?</p> <p>4 A. Me or my clients? Which clients, my --</p> <p>5 Q. MintBroker's customers.</p> <p>6 A. -- International clients?</p> <p>7 Q. Sure.</p> <p>8 A. I mean there could have been some stuff.</p> <p>9 But, you know, for example, his press release that he 10 put out. I think that was -- that was done in bad 11 faith.</p> <p>12 MR. LOPEZ: Which press release?</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: He put out a press release 14 saying that he owns 66 percent of the company, has 15 no intention of selling his shares, and that he 16 had no idea who MintBroker International was. So, 17 you know, that's -- that's -- you know, why was he 18 saying that? If -- if -- if I'm filing that I own 19 over ten percent of the company, he shouldn't say 20 that he has no idea who MintBroker International 21 is, because he knows exactly who MintBroker 22 International is. It was, potentially, someone 23 that owns 66 percent of his company. But he 24 wanted investors to believe that it was a lie, but</p>
<p>1 BY MS. TAUBER:</p> <p>2 Q. But to your knowledge, like your personal 3 knowledge, do you have any indication or belief that 4 either of these companies, New Concept or Avalon, acted 5 in bad faith? Meaning, they had bad intentions on 6 someone.</p> <p>7 A. Well, that will be determined when we have 8 them on deposition.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. But do you -- what kind of questions 10 would you ask? In other words, what's your basis for --</p> <p>11 MR. FORD: No. That one's not being asked.</p> <p>12 That one's not being asked.</p> <p>13 MS. TAUBER: Okay.</p> <p>14 Q. All right. So there's no -- is there any -- 15 are there any circumstances that would lead you to think 16 that there was bad faith on the part of these companies?</p> <p>17 A. Well, I think we talked about this earlier 18 when I told you I went through Avalon's financials and 19 things that didn't make sense.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. So you're talking about mismanagement, 21 generally?</p> <p>22 A. I mean, that's just part of it.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay.</p> <p>24 A. Just things that didn't make sense to me.</p>	<p>1 it wasn't. Well, we'll figure that part out, but 2 I think that that was done in bad faith.</p> <p>3 MR. LOPEZ: When you say he had your filings 4 showing more than ten percent, are you referring 5 to the 13D?</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: I'm referring to the Form 4 7 filings that were made, and he put a press release 8 out saying that he owned 66 percent of the 9 company, and that he had no idea who MintBroker 10 International was. And I think that that is not a 11 true statement, because he should have known, 12 based on the Form 4, who MintBroker International 13 is. And that I was potentially an investigator in 14 his company, but he made it seem as if it wasn't. 15 So you can't have it both ways; it's either I am, 16 or I'm not. He said I'm not; so I don't know why 17 we're here.</p> <p>18 MR. LOPEZ: Did you and he ever speak --</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>20 MR. LOPEZ: -- to one another?</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>22 MR. LOPEZ: You never met?</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>24 MR. LOPEZ: Okay.</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 181	Page 183
<p>1 BY MS. TAUBER:</p> <p>2 Did -- but also, is there any other reason,</p> <p>3 besides the Form 4, that you think he should have known</p> <p>4 who you were, or who the main broker was?</p> <p>5 A. I mean, that's the only way I think he would</p> <p>6 have known.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. The third affirmative defense is --</p> <p>8 back in <u>Exhibit 9</u> -- is waiver. Do you have any basis,</p> <p>9 factual basis, that you can think of for that defense.</p> <p>10 A. I don't know.</p> <p>11 MR. FORD: It's not clear. Do you know what</p> <p>12 a legal doctrine means?</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: No. I need another water</p> <p>14 actually. Thanks. Coming from a tropical</p> <p>15 weather, and it's so dry here that --</p> <p>16 (Pause.)</p> <p>17 Q. Waiver usually means you relinquished your</p> <p>18 claim in some way. Do you have any reason to think</p> <p>19 either of my clients have relinquished their claim?</p> <p>20 A. I have no idea what --</p> <p>21 MR. FORD: These are legal issues. It's not</p> <p>22 for him to explain them. They're legal positions.</p> <p>23 MS. TAUBER: You understand there are some</p> <p>24 facts we never got to that you were contending</p>	<p>1 MS. TAUBER: Okay.</p> <p>2 MR. FORD: Is that fair?</p> <p>3 MS. TAUBER: Sure. That's fair enough.</p> <p>4 Okay.</p> <p>5 (Pause.)</p> <p>6 MS. TAUBER: Moving on. I think we're almost</p> <p>7 done.</p> <p>8 MR. LOPEZ: I'd like to edit it.</p> <p>9 MS. TAUBER: You can also take it over.</p> <p>10 (Recess taken.)</p> <p>11 EXAMINATION</p> <p>12 BY MR. LOPEZ:</p> <p>13 Q. Who were the directors of MintBroker?</p> <p>14 A. At the relevant time?</p> <p>15 Q. Yes.</p> <p>16 A. The directors of MintBroker International</p> <p>17 Bahamas; there was myself and Antonio Collie.</p> <p>18 Q. Are you obliged to file taxes in the U.S.?</p> <p>19 A. A tax return, no. I'm not obliged to file a</p> <p>20 tax return. Like a 1040?</p> <p>21 Q. Yeah.</p> <p>22 A. Well, personally, I file a 1040, but the</p> <p>23 entity does not have to file a 1040.</p> <p>24 Q. Who is your personal accountant?</p>
<p>1 supported these defenses. This is the time we</p> <p>2 have to inquire about those facts; so we're not</p> <p>3 surprised by allegations --</p> <p>4 MR. FORD: Understand -- if he understands</p> <p>5 what our legal argument based on legal waiver</p> <p>6 means, he can explain that.</p> <p>7 MS. TAUBER: But also facts you're intending</p> <p>8 to produce in support of these questions.</p> <p>9 MR. FORD: The issue, this whole line of</p> <p>10 questions, he doesn't understand the legal</p> <p>11 concept. So he doesn't understand what facts</p> <p>12 would be supportive of that. That's something the</p> <p>13 lawyers know, and you know this.</p> <p>14 MS. TAUBER: Right. That's why I'm</p> <p>15 describing the concept and saying what facts do</p> <p>16 you know of that might fit into these --</p> <p>17 MR. FORD: He has no idea what we could</p> <p>18 possibly put in a legal brief.</p> <p>19 MS. TAUBER: How do you suggest I prevent</p> <p>20 unfair surprise in this case about facts we never</p> <p>21 proved in this case in support of defenses?</p> <p>22 MR. FORD: I assure you, any legal argument</p> <p>23 we make will be based on the record developed in</p> <p>24 discovery.</p>	<p>1 A. How is that relevant to this?</p> <p>2 Q. Because MintBroker is probably a</p> <p>3 foreign-controlled corporation, and your personal tax</p> <p>4 return may reflect some of its activities.</p> <p>5 MR. FORD: Wait. Wait. No, but that's</p> <p>6 not -- I don't understand that. It's -- what does</p> <p>7 that have to do with a 16B claim?</p> <p>8 MR. LOPEZ: Pursuant to -- reconstruct</p> <p>9 records and the only thing we're interested in is</p> <p>10 whether there is anything in that tax return that</p> <p>11 reflects on papers -- on records that we don't</p> <p>12 have.</p> <p>13 MR. FORD: That doesn't -- I'm not buying</p> <p>14 that. We're not getting into his tax returns.</p> <p>15 MR. LOPEZ: Okay. There will be a motion on</p> <p>16 that one.</p> <p>17 MR. FORD: Okay. That sounds good.</p> <p>18 BY MR. LOPEZ:</p> <p>19 Q. When did you become aware of these suits?</p> <p>20 A. Which suits?</p> <p>21 Q. The ones you testified on today. Avalon</p> <p>22 versus -- and --</p> <p>23 A. I think -- either -- either my attorney told</p> <p>24 me about it, or I've read it online.</p>

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.

GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 185	Page 187
<p>1 Q. Can you place it in time; when?</p> <p>2 MR. FORD: Do you remember.</p> <p>3 A. Probably late summer of -- around the time --</p> <p>4 MR. FORD: Do you have any recollection of</p> <p>5 when you first became aware of these lawsuits?</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>7 Q. When you became aware of these lawsuits, did</p> <p>8 you put a litigation hold on the books and records of</p> <p>9 MintBroker?</p> <p>10 A. Well, there's no requirement for me to do</p> <p>11 that, because it's automatically required by law in the</p> <p>12 Bahamas anyway; that all the records are maintained for</p> <p>13 seven years. There's nothing extra for me to do.</p> <p>14 Q. And what records are you speaking of?</p> <p>15 A. Trade records. Customer, you know,</p> <p>16 documents, communications with customers.</p> <p>17 Q. And those records are now in the custody of</p> <p>18 Kensington Law?</p> <p>19 A. Yes. That's what I believe.</p> <p>20 Q. Who is paying Kensington Law?</p> <p>21 A. Well, they were paid already upfront from</p> <p>22 what my understanding is, but they were paid to hold</p> <p>23 these records.</p> <p>24 Q. By whom?</p>	<p>1 because no business currently.</p> <p>2 Q. Do you have custody or control or access to</p> <p>3 MintBroker's tax returns?</p> <p>4 A. MintBroker International, like I said</p> <p>5 earlier, does not have a 1040 tax return.</p> <p>6 Q. Does it file taxes in the Bahamas?</p> <p>7 A. No. There is no tax returns in the Bahamas.</p> <p>8 Q. I want to live there.</p> <p>9 MR. FORD: Keep that in mind.</p> <p>10 Okay. Anything further?</p> <p>11 MS. TAUBER: Yeah. One more thing.</p> <p>12 I want to introduce one more document that I</p> <p>13 forgot to introduce, which is this one, same as</p> <p>14 this.</p> <p>15 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 15,</p> <p>16 PORTFOLIO REPORT AS OF 13/03/2018, was marked for</p> <p>17 identification.)</p> <p>18 </p> <p>19 </p> <p>20 EXAMINATION</p> <p>21 BY MS. TAUBER: (Continued.)</p> <p>22 Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit 15, and it is titled</p> <p>23 "Portfolio Report as of 13/3/2018 for MintBroker</p> <p>24 International, LTD."</p>
<p>1 A. By the company, MintBroker International.</p> <p>2 Q. MintBroker, of which you are a director and</p> <p>3 sole shareholder?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you have access to these records?</p> <p>6 A. I can ask them to go through records, if need</p> <p>7 be.</p> <p>8 Q. You do have access then?</p> <p>9 A. I have access to ask them. I don't have an</p> <p>10 online access to log-in somewhere, and access it on my</p> <p>11 own.</p> <p>12 Q. No. I'm just asking whether you've got</p> <p>13 access whether as the owner of MintBroker --</p> <p>14 A. I can ask them.</p> <p>15 Q. -- you can ask them?</p> <p>16 MR. FORD: He does.</p> <p>17 Q. Who is the chief financial officer of</p> <p>18 MintBroker?</p> <p>19 A. Antonio Collie.</p> <p>20 Q. Address?</p> <p>21 A. It's Nassau, Bahamas.</p> <p>22 Q. Who is the compliance officer of MintBroker?</p> <p>23 A. Edward Cooper, Nassau, Bahamas. Again, these</p> <p>24 are former, during the relevant time, not currently,</p>	<p>1 Do you know how this document was generated;</p> <p>2 where it comes from?</p> <p>3 A. Well, it says on it that it was generated</p> <p>4 from Deltec Bank and Trust.</p> <p>5 Q. Well, it doesn't -- I'm being sure. So</p> <p>6 Deltec Bank generated this; is that what you're saying?</p> <p>7 A. That's what I believe.</p> <p>8 MR. FORD: I'm sorry. You're asking who</p> <p>9 generated this?</p> <p>10 MS. TAUBER: Yeah.</p> <p>11 MR. FORD: This is your document. Where did</p> <p>12 you get it from?</p> <p>13 MS. TAUBER: A document directive. But it</p> <p>14 doesn't look like an Interactive document. It was</p> <p>15 in their possession, but I don't know where -- who</p> <p>16 created it.</p> <p>17 MR. FORD: Oh, I understand.</p> <p>18 BY MS. TAUBER:</p> <p>19 Q. Right. So Deltec Bank is the one who created</p> <p>20 this?</p> <p>21 A. I believe that they created and generated it.</p> <p>22 It was not generated by myself or by my company.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. So portfolio summary is page 1; page 3</p> <p>24 has, like, performance indices?</p>

**AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.**

GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

AVALON HOLDINGS CORPORATION v.
GUY GENTILE AND MINTBROKER INTERNATIONAL, LTD.GUY GENTILE
February 24, 2020

Page 193	Page 195
<p>1 MR. FORD: The CFO of Avalon.</p> <p>2 MS. TAUBER: Well, they called to -- I think</p> <p>3 we sent you those documents too. Like the --</p> <p>4 MS. McLAUGHLIN: Yes.</p> <p>5 MS. TAUBER: So that's related to that.</p> <p>6 MR. FORD: Oh, that's the Bryan Saksa. Do we</p> <p>7 have his -- the complaint he filed with the New</p> <p>8 York Stock Exchange?</p> <p>9 MS. McLAUGHLIN: I don't think -- Assuming</p> <p>10 we don't have these documents.</p> <p>11 MS. TAUBER: Bryan Saksa is attached to one</p> <p>12 of the emails or, again -- yeah. There's</p> <p>13 communication with him and NYSE.</p> <p>14 MS. McLAUGHLIN: When did you get these</p> <p>15 documents from the stock exchange?</p> <p>16 MS. TAUBER: I got these -- maybe Thursday or</p> <p>17 something.</p> <p>18 MR. FORD: Okay. So they were complaints</p> <p>19 made to the New York Stock Exchange by Bryan</p> <p>20 Saksa, an unknown individual.</p> <p>21 MS. TAUBER: And me at the end.</p> <p>22 MR. FORD: And you at the end.</p> <p>23 We're on the record; yes?</p> <p>24 MS. TAUBER: Yeah.</p>	<p>1 MS. TAUBER: Or any amount of money.</p> <p>2 A. Not to my recollection.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Okay. So were you -- are you aware of</p> <p>4 -- were you aware of any other losses that were being</p> <p>5 discussed at the time of these trades?</p> <p>6 A. Am I aware of any -- I don't have access</p> <p>7 to --</p> <p>8 Q. Did customers, people -- colleagues of yours,</p> <p>9 talk to you and say, Hey, they lost a ton of money on</p> <p>10 this stock; do you know anything about this?</p> <p>11 A. I mean, I don't think anyone came to me and</p> <p>12 said, Hey, I lost money on AWX. I don't recall.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Or GBR?</p> <p>14 A. I mean, I don't remember, specifically,</p> <p>15 someone coming to me and saying that. I mean,</p> <p>16 obviously, people make money and people lose money all</p> <p>17 the time in any security that's volatile or not</p> <p>18 volatile; right? It's moving up or down. But this is</p> <p>19 -- specifically, AWX is what this person's talking</p> <p>20 about.</p> <p>21 Q. Right.</p> <p>22 A. I don't know who this person is, or how they</p> <p>23 would have lost money.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay.</p>
<p>1 BY MS. TAUBER:</p> <p>2 Q. So, I guess, you have no idea what this</p> <p>3 document is or refers to?</p> <p>4 A. No.</p> <p>5 Q. But at least one of -- if you look at page --</p> <p>6 the third page, whoever this person saying, I lost a</p> <p>7 total of \$15,000.</p> <p>8 A. Which one?</p> <p>9 Q. The third page.</p> <p>10 A. I lost a total of 15,000.</p> <p>11 Q. Yeah. And then somebody else -- well this is</p> <p>12 -- it looks like the same guy actually, just put it in</p> <p>13 twice, the next page after that, same \$15,000. And</p> <p>14 then --</p> <p>15 A. So how do you lose \$15,000?</p> <p>16 Q. I don't know. I was going to ask you what --</p> <p>17 if you knew what he was talking about.</p> <p>18 MR. FORD: He doesn't know who he is. He has</p> <p>19 no idea know what this document is.</p> <p>20 Q. I was going to ask you: Are you aware of any</p> <p>21 losses in connection with this trading that was raised</p> <p>22 with you? Like, in other words -- was --</p> <p>23 MR. FORD: Did any customer of MintBroker</p> <p>24 complain to you that they lost a total of \$15,128?</p>	<p>1 MR. FORD: Or how it would have anything to</p> <p>2 do with the short-swing case.</p> <p>3 MS. TAUBER: It's about the trading that</p> <p>4 we're talking about.</p> <p>5 But okay. All right. I think that's -- that's</p> <p>6 it, I think. That's all I have. I just wanted to</p> <p>7 make sure I entered everything I wanted to enter.</p> <p>8 I think I did. Do you mind if we take a</p> <p>9 five-minute break.</p> <p>10 MR. FORD: Sure.</p> <p>11 MS. TAUBER: I'll check, and then we'll end.</p> <p>12</p> <p>13 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 17,</p> <p>14 EMAIL FROM DRAMEKO, was marked for</p> <p>15 identification.)</p> <p>16</p> <p>17 BY MS. TAUBER:</p> <p>18 Q. So this is just a question about, like, if</p> <p>19 you look at the bottom of this page. Exhibit 17 is an</p> <p>20 email between Drameko at SureTrader and --</p> <p>21 A. Who?</p> <p>22 Q. Drameko, sorry, and atenerelli@interactive,</p> <p>23 and some other people, Guy Gentile, Proserve,</p> <p>24 Professional Services. So the bottom, it asks about the</p>